

A Lyapunov view on positive Harris recurrence of multiclass queueing networks

Michael Schönlein

Institute for Mathematics, University of Würzburg, Emil-Fischer Straße 40, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

Abstract

In this paper we prove the positive Harris recurrence of the underlying Markov process of a multiclass queueing network. Using the fact that under certain conditions the stability of a fluid network is equivalent to the existence of a continuous Lyapunov function, we propose a new method to conclude that the underlying Markov process is positive Harris recurrent if the associated fluid network model is stable by using explicitly the Lyapunov function of the fluid network.

Keywords: converse Lyapunov theorem, fluid networks, Lyapunov function, positive Harris recurrence

1. Introduction

Typically a multiclass queueing network consists of objects, for instance jobs or customers, that are waiting for service in buffers in front of diverse stations. After service completion, a served job either moves to another buffer at some further station or leaves the network.

A multiclass queueing network consists of J service stations and K classes of customers. The *interarrival times* for customers of class $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ are given by positive random variables $a_k(n)$, with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and the services times of class k customers are given by positive random variables $s_k(n)$, with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Each customer class is exclusively served at a certain station. The many-to-one mapping $c : \{1, \dots, K\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, J\}$ determines which customer class is served at which station. The corresponding $J \times K$ matrix C , called the *constituency matrix*, is defined by $C_{jk} := 1$ if $c(k) = j$ and $C_{jk} = 0$ else. For station $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, the set $C(j) := \{k \in \{1, \dots, K\} : c(k) = j\}$ is the collection of all customer classes that are served at station j . After a class k customer received service at station $c(k)$ its routing is given by a K dimensional *Bernoulli random variable* ϕ^k . To be precise, each component of $\phi^k(n)$ is either 0 or 1, but the entry 1 appears at most once. Let e_k denote the k th standard basis vector for \mathbb{R}^K . Then, the n th served class k customer at station $c(k)$ becomes a class l customer after service completion if $\phi^k(n) = e_l$, and the customer leaves the network if $\phi^k(n) = 0$. For some customer class k the interarrival time may be $a_k(n) = \infty$ for all n . Then, the exogenous arrival process is null. The corresponding notation is the following $\mathcal{E} := \{k \in \{1, \dots, K\} : a_k(n) < \infty, n \geq 1\}$. Further, the buffer at each station is assumed to have infinite capacity. Throughout this paper we pose the following general assumptions on the interarrival and the service times.

(A1) The sequences $a_1, \dots, a_K, s_1, \dots, s_K$ and ϕ^1, \dots, ϕ^K are identi-

cally and independently distributed and mutually independent.

(A2) The first moments satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &:= \mathbb{E}[a_k(1)]^{-1} < \infty \text{ for } k \in \mathcal{E}, \\ \mu_k &:= \mathbb{E}[s_k(1)]^{-1} < \infty \text{ for } k \in \{1, \dots, K\}, \\ P_k &:= \mathbb{E}[\phi^k(1)] \geq 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, K\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the spectral radius of the matrix $P = (P_1 \dots P_K)$ is strictly less than one.

(A3) The distributions of the interarrival times are unbounded and spread out.

The distribution of the interarrival times of class $k \in \mathcal{E}$ is called *unbounded* if for all $t \geq 0$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}[a_k(1) \geq t] > 0.$$

Unboundedness expresses that arbitrarily large interarrival times appear with positive probability. Moreover, the distribution of the interarrival times of customer class $k \in \mathcal{E}$ is said to *spread out* if there exists some $l_k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and some non-negative function $q_k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\int_0^\infty q_k(s) ds > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq a < b$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{l_k} a_k(i) \in [a, b]\right] \geq \int_a^b q_k(s) ds.$$

We refer to the triple (a, s, ϕ) as the *primitive increments* of the multiclass queueing network.

We restrict ourselves to *head-of-the-line (HL)* queueing networks. The term HL indicates that within each class the customers are served in *First-In-First-Out (FIFO)* order. The evolution of the HL multiclass queueing network will be described by a stochastic process $X = \{X(t), t \geq 0\}$. The corresponding state space is denoted by (X, \mathcal{B}) , where \mathcal{B} denotes the Borel σ -algebra of X . The precise description depends on the particular discipline. We will not introduce the full state space here, the

Email address: schoenlein@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de
(Michael Schönlein)

interested reader is referred to [2, 6]. In general the state space is of the form

$$\mathbb{X} = \{x : x = ((k, w), u, v, z) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^\infty \times \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|} \times \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^K\}.$$

Here $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^\infty$ denotes the set of finitely terminated sequences taking values in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$. The meaning of each of its components are the following. The global order of the customers in the network is given by the pair of sequences $(k, w) = ((k_1, w_1), (k_2, w_2), \dots, (k_l, w_l)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^\infty$. The first entry $k_i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ denotes the current class of the i th customer and the second entry $w_i \geq 0$ reflects the elapsed time since the customer i entered the class k_i . The order of elements (k_i, w_i) in the sequence (k, w) is defined by the property that it is descending in w_i . The number of customers of each class is denoted by $q = (q_1, \dots, q_K)$ and $\|q\| := \sum_{k=1}^K q_k$ is the total number of customers in the state. The variable $u \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}|}$ denotes the *residual interarrival time*, where $u_k > 0$ denotes the remaining time before the next arrival of a class $k \in \mathcal{E}$ customer from outside the network. The component $v \in \mathbb{R}^K$ represents the *residual service time*, i.e. the coordinate v_k denotes the remaining time service time for the oldest class k customer, where $v_k \geq 0$ and $v_k = 0$ only if $q_k = 0$. The component z of $z \in [0, 1]^K$ denotes the proportion of the service effort of station $c(k)$ that the oldest class k customer receives, while other class k customers do not receive any service. This represents the HL property. For each station j we have that if $\sum_{k \in C(j)} q_k > 0$, it holds that $\sum_{k \in C(j)} z_k = 1$, where $z_k = 0$ if $q_k = 0$. If station j is empty, i.e. $\sum_{k \in C(j)} q_k = 0$, then $\sum_{k \in C(j)} z_k = 0$. The state space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is measurable. For the objective of the present paper it suffices to consider (q, u, v) of the state space. With a slight abuse of notation we call $|x| := \|q\| + \|u\| + \|v\|$ a norm on \mathbb{X} . Further, let \mathbb{X} be equipped with the natural induced topology then $\{x \in \mathbb{X} : |x| \leq \kappa\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{X} for every $\kappa > 0$.

The underlying stochastic process X is piecewise deterministic. In particular, X is a Borel right process and satisfies the strong Markov property, cf. [2], [6]. Thus, the process is equipped with the basic ingredients defining a strong Markov process. So, the process X is Borel right and is defined on a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with values in the measurable space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B})$. Furthermore, the process is adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ and $\{\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{X}\}$ are probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ we have $\mathbb{P}_x[X(0) = x] = 1$. The collection

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \{X(t), t \geq 0\}, \{\mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{X}\})$$

defines a strong Markov family. The transition function P is defined by $P(t, x, A) = \mathbb{P}_x[X(t) \in A]$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$, and $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

For a set $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ let $\tau_A := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \in A\}$ denote the *first entrance time*, and for $\delta > 0$ the *first entrance time past δ* is given by $\tau_A(\delta) := \inf\{t \geq \delta : X(t) \in A\}$. By the D ebut Theorem, cf. [16, Theorem A 5.1], the first entrance time defines a stopping time. Furthermore, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ we consider the *occupation time* η_A , describing the number of visits by X to A , given by

$$\eta_A := \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{X(t) \in A\}} dt.$$

A Markov process X is called *Harris recurrent* if there exists a nontrivial σ -finite measure ν such that whenever $\nu(A) > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ it holds that $\mathbb{P}_x[\eta_A = \infty] = 1$ for all $x \in E$. If the invariant measure can be normalized to a probability measure, the Markov process X is called *positive Harris recurrent*. A multiclass queueing network is called *stable* if the underlying Markov process, denoted by X , is positive Harris recurrent.

Beginning from the early 1990s with the works of Rybko and Stolyar [13], Stolyar [17] and Dai [6] an effective approach to investigate the stability of multiclass queueing networks is to consider the limits of scaled versions of the underlying Markov process. To this end, let $(r_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairs, where $r_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x_n \in \mathbb{X}$ is a sequence of initial states. We assume that the sequence of pairs satisfies the following conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|q_n\|}{r_n} < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n\|}{r_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|v_n\|}{r_n} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where q_n, u_n and v_n denote the queue length, the residual interarrival time, and the residual service time, respectively. In the sequel, we consider the family $\{\frac{1}{r_n} X^{x_n}(r_n t) : t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of Markov processes, where the superscript x_n expresses the dependence on the initial state $x_n \in \mathbb{X}$. By G we denote the set on which the primitive increments satisfy the strong law of large numbers. Recall that, for each HL queueing network, $(r_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (1), and $\omega \in G$, there is a subsequence of pairs $(r_{n_i}, x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the convergence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_{n_i}} X^{x_{n_i}}(r_{n_i} t, \omega) = \bar{X}(t, \omega) \quad (2)$$

is uniformly on compact sets (u.o.c.), that is, for each $t \geq 0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \frac{1}{r_{n_i}} X^{x_{n_i}}(r_{n_i} s, \omega) - \bar{X}(s, \omega) \right| = 0.$$

The set of all these limits is called the fluid limit model, denoted by \mathcal{FLM} . A fluid limit model of a queueing discipline is said to be *stable* if there is a $\tau > 0$ such that for any fluid limit $\bar{X}(\cdot)$ the $\bar{Q}(\cdot)$ component satisfies $\bar{Q}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq \tau \|\bar{Q}(0)\|$. The following well-known result of Dai draws the connection of stability of the fluid limit model to the stability of the multiclass queueing network.

Theorem 1 ([6]). *Let a queueing discipline be fixed. Assume that Assumptions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. If the fluid limit model is stable, then the queueing network is stable.*

Furthermore, Dai also shows that the fluid limits satisfy a set of equations that is based on the first moments of the primitive increments. A completely deterministic analog, called the associated fluid network, is obtained as the set of solutions to these equations. The associated fluid network is a superset to the fluid limit model and, thus, the stability analysis of multiclass queueing networks can be undertaken deterministically.

This result released a series of works characterizing stability of the associated fluid networks. cf. [1], [3], [4], [5], [7],

[8], [18]. In most cases Lyapunov arguments are used to verify the proposed stability conditions. In [14] a consistent Lyapunov theory has been developed for fluid networks where the set of fluid level processes satisfies the following conditions: (a) Lipschitz continuity with respect to a global Lipschitz constant (b) scaling invariance (c) shift invariance (d) closedness in the topology of uniform convergence (e) a concatenation property holds (f) the set of fluid level processes depends lower semicontinuously on the initial fluid level. It is shown that stability of a fluid network satisfying (a)-(f) is equivalent to the existence of a continuous Lyapunov function.

In this paper we make use of the converse Lyapunov statement by explicitly using the Lyapunov function admitted by the stable fluid network to construct a Foster-Lyapunov function for the underlying Markov process. The technique used here is similar to the approach taken in [9], where Dupuis and Williams showed that a semimartingale reflected Brownian motion is positive Harris recurrent if all solutions to the associated linear Skorokhod problem are attracted to the origin. Starting from the fact that all solutions to the linear Skorokhod problem are attracted to the origin, Dupuis and Williams constructed a Lyapunov function and used this function to establish positive Harris recurrence of the semimartingale reflected Brownian motion. Although the Theorem 1 was stimulated by this result, Dai did not use Lyapunov arguments to prove his result. In this paper we consider the approach of Dupuis and Williams in the context of multiclass queueing networks. However, it turns out that, given a stable fluid network, the construction of the Lyapunov function in [9] is not immediately possible for some disciplines, like e.g. FIFO, cf. Remark 1. The crucial point is that the state of the associated fluid network under general work-conserving, priority and head-of-the-line proportional-processor-sharing disciplines is given by the fluid level. Whereas to describe the state of FIFO fluid networks additional information of the past is required. In the definition of fluid limits proposed by Stolyar this information has been taken into account, cf. [17]. The Lyapunov characterization of stability given in [14], however, applies only to fluid networks where the state is solely given by the fluid level. The precise conditions that allow for a proof of Theorem 1 in the spirit of [9] are stated in the following main result of the paper.

Theorem 2. *Let a queueing discipline be fixed and assume that the Assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold. Suppose that the discipline is so that the associated fluid network satisfies (a) – (f). If the associated fluid network is stable, then the queueing network is stable.*

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall known properties of fluid networks and display an equivalent Lyapunov characterization of stability of fluid networks satisfying (a) – (f). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2, where we explicitly use the Lyapunov function admitted by the stable associated fluid network to establish a Foster-Lyapunov function for the underlying Markov process. To this end, we first prove a modified version of the Foster-Lyapunov theorem in [2, Proposition 4.5]. The line of

argument is similar to and is inspired by the one for Theorem 2 in [11].

2. Preliminaries on fluid networks

In this section we briefly introduce fluid networks as the solutions to a system of dynamic equations describing the performance processes of a multiclass queueing network without detailing particular disciplines. Also, we recall generic properties that fluid networks under general work-conserving disciplines have in common. Further, we consider additional properties such that stability of such fluid networks is equivalent to the existence of a continuous Lyapunov function.

It is well-known that any fluid limit satisfies the following set of dynamic equations, where $M = \text{diag}(\mu)$:

$$Q(t) = Q(0) + at + P^T MT(t) - MT(t) \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

$$T(0) = 0 \text{ and } T(\cdot) \text{ is nondecreasing,} \quad (4)$$

$$W(t) = C M^{-1} (Q(0) + A(t)) - C T(t), \quad (5)$$

$$I(t) = et - C T(t) \text{ and } I(\cdot) \text{ is nondecreasing,} \quad (6)$$

$$I_j(t) \text{ can only increase when } W_j(t) = 0, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, J\}, \quad (7)$$

additional conditions on $(Q(\cdot), T(\cdot))$, specific to the discipline. (8)

Any pair $(Q(\cdot), T(\cdot))$ satisfying these equations is called a fluid solution. In addition, the set of all fluid solutions to the equations (3)-(8) is called the associated fluid network, denoted by \mathcal{FN} . The associated fluid network is a purely deterministic network which is based on the mean values of the primitive increments of the stochastic queueing network. Further, as shown in [6], for any fluid limit $\bar{X}(\cdot)$ the pair $(\bar{Q}(\cdot), \bar{T}(\cdot))$ is a fluid solution. An immediate consequence of the above theorem is that $\mathcal{FLM} \subset \mathcal{FN}$. Note that, in general the inclusion is strict, see [7, Section 2.7]. Furthermore, the fluid solutions are not unique in general. A related counterexample can be found in [2] Example 1 in Section 4.3.

Let \mathcal{Q} denote the set of all fluid level processes $Q(\cdot)$ such that there is an allocation process $T(\cdot)$ such that the pair $(Q(\cdot), T(\cdot))$ is a fluid solution. An associated fluid network is called stable if there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that $Q(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \geq \tau \|Q(0)\|$ and for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. In order to state generic properties of the set of fluid level processes \mathcal{Q} we introduce a scaling and a shift operator. Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^K$, for $r > 0$ the *scaling operator* σ_r is defined by $\sigma_r f(t) := \frac{1}{r} f(rt)$, and for $s \geq 0$ the *shift operator* δ_s is defined by $\delta_s f(t) := f(t + s)$. The subsequent proposition summarizes well-known generic properties of fluid networks.

Proposition 1. *The set \mathcal{Q} is nonempty and satisfies*

(a) *there is a $L > 0$, such that for any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ it holds that*

$$\|Q(t) - Q(s)\| \leq L|t - s|.$$

(b) *$Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ implies $\sigma_r Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all $r > 0$.*

(c) *$Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ implies $\delta_s Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all $s \geq 0$.*

(d) if a sequence $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Q} converges to Q_* u.o.c., then $Q_* \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Taking conditions (a) – (d) as a basis Ye and Chen [18] have shown that a fluid network is stable if and only if for every fluid level process the functional

$$v_Q(t) := \int_t^\infty \|Q(s)\| ds \quad (9)$$

is decaying, cf. [18, Theorem 2.3]. As the argument of the functional v_Q is not $Q(t)$, this characterization does not allow for the construction of a Foster-Lyapunov function for the Markov process describing the evolution of a multiclass queueing network. Before presenting a fluid level-dependent Lyapunov characterization of stability of fluid networks, we recall some notation.

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two fluid level processes in \mathcal{Q} satisfying $Q_1(t^*) = Q_2(0)$ for some $t^* \geq 0$. Then, $Q_1 \diamond_{t^*} Q_2$ is called the concatenation of Q_1 and Q_2 at t^* , which is defined by

$$Q_1 \diamond_{t^*} Q_2(t) := \begin{cases} Q_1(t) & t \leq t^*, \\ Q_2(t - t^*) & t \geq t^*. \end{cases}$$

The set \mathcal{Q} of fluid level processes is said to satisfy the *concatenation property* if for any Q_1 and Q_2 in \mathcal{Q} such that $Q_1(t^*) = Q_2(0)$ for some $t^* \geq 0$ it holds that $Q_1 \diamond_{t^*} Q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{Q}_q := \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : Q(0) = q\}$ denote the set of fluid level processes in \mathcal{Q} starting in $q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Further, set-valued maps are denoted by \rightsquigarrow and a set-valued map $q \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Q}_q$ is *lower semicontinuous* if for each $q \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_q$ and $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to q , there is a sequence of fluid level processes $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $Q_n \in \mathcal{Q}_{q_n}$ which converges to Q u.o.c.

Remark 1. In [15] it is shown that for fluid networks under general work-conserving, priority and HL proportional processor sharing disciplines the set \mathcal{Q} of fluid level processes has the properties (a) – (d) and additionally

- (e) it satisfies the concatenation property,
- (f) the set-valued map $q \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Q}_q$ is lower semicontinuous.

We note that for FIFO fluid networks the concatenation property does not hold in general, cf. Example 5.2.15 in [15].

To characterize stability of associated fluid networks, let \mathcal{K} denote the set of all functions $w : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ being strictly increasing with $w(0) = 0$. Further, let \mathcal{K}_∞ denote all unbounded class \mathcal{K} functions. Given an associated fluid network, a function $V : \mathbb{R}_+^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a *Lyapunov function* if there exist class \mathcal{K} functions w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$w_1(\|q\|) \leq V(q) \leq w_2(\|q\|), \quad (10)$$

$$V(Q(t)) - V(Q(s)) \leq - \int_s^t w_3(\|Q(r)\|) dr \quad (11)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all fluid level processes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. A related definition was given by Dai, see [7]. The following statement characterizes the stability of fluid networks in terms of Lyapunov functions.

Theorem 3 ([14]). *An associated fluid network satisfying (a) – (f) is stable if and only if it admits a continuous Lyapunov function V and the functions w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are of class \mathcal{K}_∞ .*

We will use this result to construct a Foster-Lyapunov function in order to demonstrate that a multiclass queueing network is stable if its associated fluid network satisfying (a) – (f) is stable.

3. Proof of the Theorem 2

In this section we exhibit how the converse Lyapunov Theorem 3 can be used to give a new proof of fluid approximation theorem if the associated fluid network satisfies the conditions (a)–(f). Based on the Lyapunov function of the associated fluid network we define a Foster-Lyapunov function for the underlying Markov process of the HL queueing network. To this end, we prove a modified version of the Foster-Lyapunov theorem which is appropriate for our purpose. Then, we apply the modified Foster-Lyapunov Theorem to conclude the positive Harris recurrence of the underlying Markov process.

Let a be a probability measure on $(0, \infty)$ and consider the Markov process X_a with transition function

$$T_a(x, A) = \int_0^\infty P(t, x, A) a(dt),$$

where $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Let μ be some nontrivial measure on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$. A nonempty set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is called *petite* if there is a nontrivial measure μ on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ and a probability measure a on $(0, \infty)$ such that the transition function $T_a(x, B)$ of the sample process satisfies $T_a(x, B) \geq \mu(B)$ for all $x \in A$ and for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The subsequent modification of the Foster-Lyapunov criterion for positive Harris recurrence is close to Proposition 4.5 in [2].

Theorem 4. *Suppose that X is continuous time Markov process, such that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $c > 0$, and measurable function $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $c W(x) \geq \delta > 0$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}_x[W(X(c W(x)))] \leq \max\{W(x), \kappa\} - \varepsilon W(x) \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, for all x ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\tau_B(\delta)] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \max\{W(x), \kappa\},$$

where $B := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : W(x) \leq \kappa\}$. In particular, if B is a closed petite set, X is positive Harris recurrent.

Proof. Let $\kappa > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$ and let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of stopping times defined by $T_0 := 0$ and

$$T_{n+1} := T_n + c W(X(T_n)). \quad (13)$$

We abbreviate by $\mathcal{F}_n := \mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ the σ -algebra corresponding to the stopping time T_n . The strong Markov property and condition (12) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x[W(X(T_n)) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x[W(X(T_{n-1} + c W(X(T_{n-1}))) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X(T_{n-1})}[W(X(c W(X(T_{n-1}))) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &\leq \max\{W(X(T_{n-1})), \kappa\} - \varepsilon W(X(T_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Further, let $M(0) := \max\{W(x), \kappa\}$ and for $n \geq 1$ we define

$$M(n) := cW(X(T_n)) + \varepsilon T_n. \quad (15)$$

Also, we note that $T_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$.

Next, we show that for $N = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : M(n) \in B\}$ and for all $n \leq N$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[M(n) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leq M(n-1).$$

To see this, first note that for $n \leq N$ it holds that $\max\{W(X(T_{n-1})), \kappa\} = W(X(T_{n-1}))$ as well as $T_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. Moreover, using (13) and (14) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x[M(n) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}_x[cW(X(T_n)) + \varepsilon T_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= c \mathbb{E}_x[W(X(T_n)) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \varepsilon \mathbb{E}_x[T_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &\leq c \max\{W(X(T_{n-1})), \kappa\} - \varepsilon W(X(T_{n-1})) + \varepsilon \mathbb{E}_x[T_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= cW(X(T_{n-1})) - \varepsilon(T_n - T_{n-1}) + \varepsilon \mathbb{E}_x[T_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= M(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

The validity of the last equality follows from the basic properties of stopping times and expectations. Hence, $M(\min\{n, N\})$ is a supermartingale on \mathcal{F}_n . Moreover, by the Optional Sampling Theorem (cf. [10]) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[M(N)] \leq \mathbb{E}_x[M(0)] = \max\{W(x), \kappa\}. \quad (16)$$

Besides, since $W(X(T_n)) \leq M(n)$ it also holds that $\tau_B(\delta) \leq T_N$ and together with (15), (16) it follows that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$,

$$\varepsilon \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_B(\delta)] \leq \mathbb{E}_x[M(N)] \leq \max\{W(x), \kappa\}$$

and, hence, we have $\mathbb{P}_x[\tau_B < \infty] = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and

$$\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_B(\delta)] \leq \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon}.$$

The assertion then follows from Theorem 4.1 in [2] (or Theorem 1.1, 1.2 in [12]). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $\mathcal{FLM} \subset \mathcal{FN}$ and the associated fluid network is stable, there is a $\tau > 0$ such that for all $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{FLM}$ it holds that $\bar{Q}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq \tau \|\bar{Q}(0)\|$. Also, from the converse Lyapunov Theorem 3 there are a continuous Lyapunov function V_L and class \mathcal{K}_∞ functions w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that for $q \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(\|q\|) &\leq V_L(q) \leq w_2(\|q\|) \\ V_L(\bar{Q}(t)) - V_L(\bar{Q}(s)) &\leq - \int_s^t w_3(\|\bar{Q}(r)\|) dr \end{aligned}$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$ and for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. Further, let $(r_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairs satisfying (1). Then, along a subsequence, which is also indexed by n , it holds

$$\frac{1}{r_n} Q^{x_n}(r_n t) \rightarrow \bar{Q}(t) \quad \text{u.o.c.}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the stability of the fluid limit model implies that

$$\frac{1}{r_n} Q^{x_n}(r_n \tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, for any $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$ we have

$$\frac{1}{r_n} w_1^{-1}(V_L(Q^{x_n}(r_n \tau))) \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

and by Lemma 4.5 in [6] we have

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} w_1^{-1}(V_L(Q^{x_n}(r_n \tau))), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is uniformly integrable. In addition, by Lemma 4.3 in [6] it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|U^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \|V^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| = 0.$$

The families $\left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} U_k^{x_n}(r_n \tau), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} V_k^{x_n}(r_n \tau), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ are for each $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ uniformly integrable by Lemma 4.3 in [6]. Hence, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_n} \mathbb{E} \left[w_1^{-1}(V_L(Q^{x_n}(r_n \tau))) + \|U^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| + \|V^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| \right] \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus, there is a $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $r_n > \kappa$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[w_1^{-1}(V_L(Q^{x_n}(r_n \tau))) + \|U^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| + \|V^{x_n}(r_n \tau)\| \right] \leq r_n \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

We define the Foster-Lyapunov function $W : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$W(x) := w_1^{-1}(V_L(q)) + \|u\| + \|v\|.$$

Then, for all x with $W(x) > \kappa$ it follows

$$\mathbb{E}_x[W(X(W(x) \tau))] \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} W(x)$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[W(X(\tau W(x)))] \leq \max\{W(x), \kappa\} - \varepsilon W(x).$$

Finally, we have to show that $B = \{x : W(x) \leq \kappa\}$ is closed and petite. To see that B is petite, note that by Lemma 3.1 in [6] the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : |x| \leq \kappa\}$ is closed and petite. Furthermore, since $\|q\| \leq w_1^{-1}(V_L(q))$ it holds that $B \subset A$. In addition, the continuity of w_1^{-1} and V_L imply that B is closed. As subsets of petite sets are petite, the assertion follows from Theorem 4. \square

4. Conclusions

In this paper we presented an alternative proof of Dai's theorem, which is in the spirit of the technique used by Dupuis and Williams. That is, given a stable associated fluid network, we used the Lyapunov function of the fluid network to construct a Foster-Lyapunov function for the multiclass queueing network to conclude that the underlying Markov process is positive Harris recurrent. It turned out that this approach is limited to disciplines where the state of the associated fluid network is completely described by the fluid level. However, if a converse Lyapunov theorem for fluid networks having more general states is available, the proof suggests that this drawback can be overcome.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Fabian Wirth for many fruitful discussions and useful comments.

References

- [1] M. Bramson. Convergence to equilibria for fluid models of head-of-the-line proportional processor sharing queueing networks. *Queueing Syst.* 23 (1996), no. 1-4, 1–26 (English).
- [2] M. Bramson. *Stability of queueing networks*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1950, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2008.
- [3] H. Chen and H.Q. Ye. Piecewise linear Lyapunov function for the stability of multiclass priority queueing networks. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 47 (2002), no. 4, 564–575.
- [4] H. Chen. Fluid approximations and stability of multiclass queueing networks: Work-conserving disciplines. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 5 (1995), no. 3, 637–665.
- [5] H. Chen and H. Zhang. Stability of multiclass queueing networks under priority service disciplines. *Oper. Res.* 48 (2000), no. 1, 26–37.
- [6] J.G. Dai. On positive Harris recurrence of multiclass queueing networks: A unified approach via fluid limit models. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 5 (1995), no. 1, 49–77.
- [7] J.G. Dai. *Stability of fluid and stochastic processing networks*. MaPhySto. Miscellanea. 9. Aarhus: Univ. of Aarhus, 1999.
- [8] J.G. Dai, J.J. Hasenbein and B. Kim. Stability of join-the-shortest-queue networks. *Queueing Syst.* 57 (2007), no. 4, 129–145.
- [9] P. Dupuis and R.J. Williams. Lyapunov functions for semimartingale reflecting Brownian motions. *Ann. Probab.* 22 (1994), no. 2, 680–702.
- [10] S.N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz. *Markov processes. Characterization and convergence*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986.
- [11] S. Foss and T. Konstantopoulos. An overview of some stochastic stability methods. *J. Oper. Res. Soc. Japan* 47 (2004), no. 4, 275–303.
- [12] S.P. Meyn and R.L. Tweedie. Generalized resolvents and Harris recurrence of markov processes. *Contemporary Mathematics* 149 (1993), 227–250.
- [13] A.N. Rybko and A.L. Stolyar. Ergodicity of stochastic processes describing the operation of open queueing networks. *Probl. Inf. Transm.* 28 (1992), no. 3, 199–220.
- [14] M. Schönlein and F. Wirth. On converse Lyapunov theorems for fluid network models. *Queueing Syst.* 70 (2012), 339–367.
- [15] M. Schönlein, *Stability and robustness of fluid networks: A Lyapunov perspective*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Würzburg, 2012.
- [16] M. Sharpe. *General theory of Markov processes* Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1988.
- [17] A.L. Stolyar. On the stability of multiclass queueing networks: A relaxed sufficient condition via limiting fluid processes. *Markov Process. Relat. Fields* 1 (1995), no. 4, 491–512.
- [18] H.Q. Ye and H. Chen. Lyapunov method for the stability of fluid networks. *Operations Research Letters* 28 (2001), no. 3, 125–136.