The Cauchy problem for Multiphase First-Contact Miscible Models with Viscous Fingering

Yun-guang Lu¹, Xue-zhou Lu²*& C. Klingenberg³

¹K.K.Chen Institute for Advanced Studies, Hangzhou Normal University Hangzhou, 310036, P. R. China
²Laboratoire Ondes and Milieux Complexes UMR 6294 CNRS-Universit du Havre, France
³Inst. of Applied Math., University of Wurzburg, Germany

Abstract

In this paper, the Cauchy problem for multiphase first-contact miscible models with viscous fingering, is studied and a global weak solution is obtained by using a new technique from the Div-Curl lemma in the compensated compactness theorem. This work extends the previous works, [Juanes and Blunt, Transport in Porous Media, 64: 339-373, 2006; Barkve, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 49: 784-798, 1989], which provided the analytical solutions and the entropy solutions respectively, of the Riemann problem, and [Lu, J. Funct. Anal., 264: 2457-2468, 2013], which provided the global solution of the Cauchy problem for the Keyfitz-Kranzer system.

Key Words: Global weak solution; first-contact miscible models; Div-Curl lemma; compensated compactness

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35L45, 35L60, 46T99.

^{*}Corresponding author: xuezhou.lu@gmail.com

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the Cauchy problem for multiphase first-contact miscible models with viscous fingering

$$(S_t + f(S,T)_x = 0,$$

 $(ST - T)_t + (Tf(S,T) - T)_x = 0,$ (1.1)

with bounded measurable initial data

$$(S(x,0), T(x,0)) = (S_0(x), T_0(x)), \quad 0 \le S_0(x) \le 1,$$
(1.2)

where S is the water saturation, C = ST - T is the solvent concentration, and f is the water fractional flow function. System (1.1) is a special case of the following nonstrictly hyperbolic systems of conservation laws modelling polymer flooding in enhanced oil recovery

$$\begin{cases} S_t + f(S,T)_x = 0, \\ (ST + \beta(T))_t + (Tf(S,T) + \alpha(T))_x = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

When $\alpha(T) = aT, \beta(T) = bT$, where a, b are positive constants, system (1.3) represents a simple model for nonisothermal two-phase flow in a porous medium [1, 2], and its Riemann problem was resolved and the entropy conditions were discussed in [1] under suitable conditions on f. When $\beta(T) = 0$ and $\alpha(T) = 0$, system (1.3) is the famous Keyfitz-Kranzer [3] or Aw-Rascle model [4], and the Riemann problem and the Cauchy problem of system (1.2) were studied in [3]-[18] and the references cited therein. When $\alpha(T) = 0$ and $\beta(T) \neq 0$, but $\beta'(T) > 0$, system (1.3) arises in connection with enhanced oil recovery, and its Riemann problem was resolved in [19]. For general $\alpha(T) \neq 0$ and $\beta(T) \neq 0$, but $\beta'(T) > 0$, the Cauchy problem was studied in the recent paper [20].

When $\beta'(T) < 0$, system (1.3) is of interest and difficulty in mathematics because the flux functions are sigular. For instance, the functions

$$(f(S,T), Tf(S,T) - T) = (f(S, \frac{C}{S-1}), \frac{C}{S-1}(f(S, \frac{C}{S-1}) - 1))$$

in system (1.1) are singular near the line S = 1.

In [21], the authors studied the analytical solutions of the Riemann problem for system (1.1).

As far as we know, there is no any existence result about the Cauchy problem of system (1.1) or system (1.3) when $\beta'(T) < 0$.

In this paper, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1 Let the initial data $(S_0(x), T_0(x))$ be bounded, $0 \le S_0(x) \le 1$, the total variation of the second variant $T_0(x)$ be bounded, the functions $f(S, T), \alpha(T), \beta(T)$ be suitable smooth and satisfy f(0, T) = f(1, T) = 0, meas $\{S : f_{SS}(S, T) = 0\} =$ 0 for any fixed $T, \beta'(T) \le -1$.

(1). If meas $\{T : \beta''(T) = 0\} = 0$ or $\beta'(T) = bT, b < -1$, then the Cauchy problem (1.3)-(1.2) has a global bounded entropy solution (S(x,t), T(x,t)) and $T_x(\cdot,t)$ is bounded in $L^1(R)$, namely, (S(x,t), T(x,t)) satisfies system (1.3) and the inequality $\eta(S,T)_t + q(S,T)_x \leq 0$, in the sense of distributions, for any smooth, convex, entropy $\eta(S,C)$ and the corresponding entropy flux q(S,C).

(2). If $\beta'(T) = -T$ and $\alpha(T) = -T$, then the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a global bounded **weak** entropy solution (S(x,t), T(x,t)), namely, (S(x,t), T(x,t))satisfies system (1.3) and the inequality $\eta(S,T)_t + q(S,T)_x \leq 0$, in the sense of distributions, for any smooth, convex, **weak** entropy $\eta(S,C)$ and the corresponding **weak** entropy flux q(S,C).

Definition 1. A weak entropy $\eta(S, C)$ of system (1.3) means $\eta(1, C) = c_1$, and a weak entropy flux q(S, C) means $q(1, C) = c_2$, where c_1, c_2 are constants.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 1, we consider the Cauchy problem for the related parabolic system

$$\begin{cases} S_t + f(S,T)_x = \varepsilon S_{xx} \\ (ST + \beta(T) - \delta T)_t + (Tf(S,T) + \alpha(T))_x = \varepsilon (ST + \beta(T) - \delta T)_{xx}, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

with initial data

$$(S^{\varepsilon}(x,0), T^{\varepsilon}(x,0)) = (S_0^{\varepsilon}(x), T_0^{\varepsilon}(x)), \qquad (2.2)$$

where $T_0^{\varepsilon}(x) = T_0(x) * G^{\varepsilon}, S_0^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x) = (\varepsilon + (1 - 2\varepsilon)S_0(x)) * G^{\varepsilon}$ are the smooth approximations of $T_0(x), S_0(x), G^{\varepsilon}$ is a mollifier and $\varepsilon, \delta(\varepsilon < \delta, \delta = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ are positive, small perturbation constants. We first have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 Let $\alpha(T), \beta(T), f(S,T)$ be suitable smooth functions and $\beta'(T) \leq -1$.

(1). For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, the Cauchy problem (2.1) with the bounded measurable initial data $\varepsilon \leq S^{\varepsilon}(x,0) \leq 1-\varepsilon, |T^{\varepsilon}(x,0)| \leq M$, always has a local smooth solution $(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})(x,t) \in (C^{\infty}(R \times (0,\tau)), C^{\infty}(R \times (0,\tau)))$, for a small time τ , which depends only on the L^{∞} norm of the initial data $(S^{\varepsilon}(x,0), T^{\varepsilon}(x,0))$, and the local solution satisfies

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \le S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \le 1 + \varepsilon, \quad |T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)| \le M + \varepsilon.$$
(2.3)

(2). If f(0,T) = 0, f(1,T) = 1, then the local solution $(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t), T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t))$ has an a-priori L^{∞} estimate

$$|T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)|_{L^{\infty}} \le M, \quad 0 < c(t,c_0,\varepsilon,\delta) \le S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \le 1,$$
(2.4)

where $c(t, c_0, \varepsilon, \delta)$ is a positive function, which could tend to zero as the time t tends to infinity or ε, δ tends to zero, and the global solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) exists.

Proof. Let $C^{\delta} = ST + \beta(T) - \delta T$. Since $\beta'(T) \leq -1$, then for any fixed $S \in (0, 1 + \varepsilon)$, there exists a smooth, inverse function $T = g(S, C^{\delta})$ and system (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} S_t + f(S, g(S, C^{\delta}))_x = \varepsilon S_{xx}, \\ C_t^{\delta} + (g(S, C^{\delta})f(S, g(S, C^{\delta})) + \alpha(g(S, C^{\delta})))_x = \varepsilon C_{xx}^{\delta}, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

which is a standard parabolic system and the local existence result in (1) can be easily obtained by applying the contraction mapping principle to an integral representation for a solution, following the standard theory of semilinear parabolic systems.

To prove the estimates in (2.4), we substitute the first equation in (2.1) into the second, we may rewrite the second equation in (2.1) as

$$T_t + \frac{f + \alpha'(T)}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} T_x = \varepsilon T_{xx} + \varepsilon \frac{2S_x + \beta''(T)T_x}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} T_x.$$
 (2.6)

Then we have the estimate $|T^{\varepsilon}(x,t)| \leq M$ by applying the maximum principle to (2.6).

Since f(1,T) = 1 and $S^{\varepsilon}(x,0) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$, we rewrite the first equation in (2.1) as

$$S_t + f_S(S,T)S_x + f_T(S,T)T_x = \varepsilon S_{xx}, \qquad (2.7)$$

where $f_T(1,T) = 0$, or

$$S_t + f_S(S,T)S_x + f_{TS}(\theta,T)(S-1)T_x = \varepsilon S_{xx},$$
(2.8)

where $\theta \in (S, 1)$. To prove $S^{\varepsilon}(x, 0) \leq 1$, we make the transformation

$$S = (\bar{S} + \frac{M}{L^2}(x^2 + cLe^t))e^{\beta t} + 1, \qquad (2.9)$$

where c, L, β are positive constants and M is the bound of S, T on $R \times (0, T_1)$. By using the equation (2.8), we have immediately from (2.9)

$$\bar{S}_t + f_S \bar{S}_x - \varepsilon \bar{S}_{xx} + (\beta + f_{TS} T_x) \bar{S}$$

$$= \frac{2\varepsilon M}{L^2} - cLe^t \frac{M}{L^2} - f_S \frac{2xM}{L^2} - (\beta + f_{TS} T_x) \frac{M}{L^2} (x^2 + cLe^t)$$
(2.10)

and

$$\bar{S}(x,0) = S(x,0) - 1 - \frac{M}{L^2}(x^2 + cL) < 0,$$
 (2.11)

$$\bar{S}(\pm L,t) = (S(\pm L,t) - 1)e^{-\beta t} - \frac{M}{L^2}(L^2 + cLe^t) < 0.$$
(2.12)

We have from (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$\bar{S}(x,t) < 0$$
 on $(-L,L) \times (0,T_1).$ (2.13)

If (2.13) is violated at a point $(x,t) \in (-L,L) \times (0,T_1)$, let \bar{t} be the least upper bound of the value t at which $\bar{S}(x,t) < 0$; then by the continuity we see that $\bar{S}(x,t) = 0$ at some points $(\bar{x},\bar{t}) \in (-L,L) \times (0,T_1)$. So,

$$\bar{S}_t + f_S \bar{S}_x - \varepsilon \bar{S}_{xx} \ge 0$$
 at $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}).$ (2.14)

However, we can choose a large β (depends on the local time) such that the right-hand side of (2.10) is negative, then equation (2.10) gives a conclusion contradicting (2.14). So (2.13) is proved. Therefore, for any fixed point $(x_0, t_0) \in (-L, L) \times (0, T_1)$,

$$S(x_0, t_0) = (\bar{S}(x_0, t_0) + \frac{M}{L^2}(x_0^2 + cLe^{t_0}))e^{\beta t_0} + 1 < \frac{M}{L^2}(x_0^2 + cLe^{t_0})e^{\beta t_0} + 1, \quad (2.15)$$

which gives the desired estimate $S(x_0, t_0) \leq 1$ when we let L go to ∞ .

Since f(0,T) = 0 and $S^{\varepsilon}(x,0) > \varepsilon$, we rewrite the first equation in (2.1) as

$$S_t + (Sg(S,T))_x = \varepsilon S_{xx}, \qquad (2.16)$$

where $g(S,T) = \frac{f(S,T)}{S}$ is bounded, so the lower bound $0 < c(t,c_0,\varepsilon,\delta) \le S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$ in (2.4) can be proved by using Theorem 1.0.2 in [22] directly.

Whenever we have an a-priori L^{∞} estimate of the local solution given in (2.4), it is clear that the local time τ can be extended to any time T_1 step by step since the step time depends only on the L^{∞} norm. So, Lemma 2 is proved.

Lemma 3 (1). If $T_0(x)$ is of bounded total variation, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}|(x,t)dx \le \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}|(x,0)dx \le M.$$
(2.17)

(2). The solutions $(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})$ satisfy that

$$\varepsilon(\delta - \beta'(T) - S)(T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$$
, $\varepsilon(S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$ are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. (2.18)

Proof. The conclusion (2.17) in Lemma 3 can be proved by applying for a technique from [23] or [14, 16].

To prove (2.18), we multiply $S + \beta'(T) - \delta$ to (2.6) to obtain

$$(S+\beta'(T)-\delta)T_t + (f+\alpha'(T))T_x = \varepsilon((S+\beta'(T)-\delta)T_{xx} + \varepsilon(2S_x+\beta''(T)T_x)T_x.$$
(2.19)

We multiply (2.19) by h'(T), the first equation in (2.1) by h(T) and then add the result to obtain

$$(Sh(T) + \int_c^T h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T))_t + (h(T)f(S,T) + \int_c^T h'(\tau)\alpha'(\tau)d\tau)_x$$

= $\varepsilon(Sh(T) + \int_c^T h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T))_{xx} - \varepsilon h''(T)(S + \beta'(T) - \delta)T_x^2.$ (2.20)

Let $K \subset R \times R^+$ be an arbitrary compact set and choose $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(R \times R^+)$ such that $\phi_K = 1, 0 \le \phi \le 1$.

Multiplying Equation (2.20) by ϕ and integrating over $R \times R^+$, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\varepsilon h''(T)(S + \beta'(T) - \delta)T_{x}^{2}\phi dx dt$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Sh(T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T)\phi_{t}$$

$$+h(T)f(S,T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\alpha'(\tau)d\tau\phi_{x}$$

$$+\varepsilon(Sh(T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T))\phi_{xx}dxdt \leq M(\phi)$$
(2.21)

and hence that

$$\varepsilon(\delta - \beta'(T) - S)(T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$$
 is bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$ (2.22)

if we choose h as a strictly convex function in (2.22).

We multiply g'(S) to equation (2.7) to obtain (for simplicity, we omit the superscripts ε and δ)

$$g(S)_t + (\int_1^S g'(\tau) f_S(\tau, T) d\tau)_x$$

+(g'(S)f_T(S, T) - $\int_1^S g'(\tau) f_{ST}(\tau, T) d\tau$)T_x (2.23)
= $\varepsilon g(S)_{xx} - \varepsilon g''(S) S_x^2$.

Choosing a strictly convex function g and multiplying a suitable nonnegative test function ϕ to (2.23), we have that $\varepsilon(S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$ is bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4

$$h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_x, \quad S_t^{\varepsilon,\delta} + f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_x$$
 (2.24)

are compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, for any smooth function h(T).

Proof. Since $T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$ from the estimate (2.17), then they are compact in $W^{-1,\alpha}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, where $\alpha \in (1,2)$, by the Sobolev's embedding theorem. Moreover, $T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ are uniformly bounded in $W^{-1,\infty}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, then the Murat compact embedding theorem [24] shows that $h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_x$ are compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, for any smooth function h(T).

Since $\varepsilon(S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$ are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, so the term εS_{xx} in the first equation in (2.1) is compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. Thus $S_t^{\varepsilon,\delta} + f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_x$ are compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. Lemma 4 is proved.

<u>Lemma</u> 5

$$(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + \int_{c}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau)_{t} + (h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + \int_{c}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}} h'(\tau)\alpha'(\tau)d\tau)_{x}$$
(2.25)

are compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, for any smooth function h(T).

Proof. From (2.20), we have that

$$(Sh(T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau)_{t} + (h(T)f(S,T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\alpha'(\tau)d\tau)_{x}$$

$$= \varepsilon(Sh(T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T))_{xx}$$

$$-\varepsilon h''(T)(S + \beta'(T) - \delta)T_{x}^{2} + \delta(h(T))_{t}.$$

(2.26)

Since $\beta'(T) \leq -1$, we have from the estimate in (2.22) that

$$\varepsilon \delta(T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$$
 is bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. (2.27)

If we let $\delta = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})$, we have from (2.18) that the following terms on the righthand side of (2.26)

$$\varepsilon(Sh(T) + \int_{c}^{T} h'(\tau)\beta'(\tau)d\tau - \delta h(T))_{xx} + \delta(h(T))_{t} \text{ are compact in } H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^{+})$$
(2.28)

and

 $-\varepsilon h''(T)(S+\beta'(T)-\delta)T_x^2 \text{ is bounded in } L^1_{loc}(R\times R^+).$ (2.29)

Thus the right-hand side of (2.26) is compact in $W^{-1,\alpha}, \alpha \in (1,2)$ by using Sobolev's embedding theorem. Since the left-hand side of (2.26) is bounded in $W^{-1,\infty}$, the proof of Lemma 5 is completed by using Murat's embedding theorem.

Lemma 6 If meas $\{T : \beta''(T) = 0\} = 0$ or $\beta(T) = bT, b < -1$, then there exists a subsequence (still labelled $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$) such that

$$T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to T(x,t)$$
 (2.30)

a.e. on any bounded and open set $\Omega \subset R \times R^+$.

If $\beta(T) = -T$, then there exists a subsequence (still labelled $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$) such that $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to T(x,t)$ almost everywhere on the set $S_+ = \{(x,t) : 0 \leq S(x,t) < 1\}$, where S(x,t) is the weak limit of $S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$.

Proof. First, we may apply for the div-curl lemma in the compensated compactness theory [25] to the following special pairs of functions

$$(c, h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})), \quad (S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}))$$
 (2.31)

to obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \cdot \overline{h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})} = \overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})}, \qquad (2.32)$$

where $\overline{f(\theta^{\varepsilon,\delta})}$ denotes the weak-star limit of $f(\theta^{\varepsilon,\delta})$.

Second, letting h(T) = T and $h(T) = \beta(T)$ in (2.25) respectively, we can apply for the div-curl lemma to the pairs of functions

$$(c,\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k)), \quad (S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)+\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k), (T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})+\alpha(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}))$$
(2.33)

to obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))} + \overline{(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))^2}$$

$$= \overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)} \cdot \overline{\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k)} + (\overline{\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})}-\beta(k))^2,$$
(2.34)

and to the pairs of functions $(c, T^{\varepsilon, \delta} - k)$ and

$$(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - \beta(k)) + \int_{k}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}} (\beta'(\tau))^2 d\tau, h(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon}) + \int_{0}^{T^{\varepsilon}} h'(\tau) \alpha'(\tau) d\tau)$$
(2.35)

to obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))} + \overline{(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)\int_{k}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}}(\beta'(\tau))^{2}d\tau} = (\overline{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k}) \cdot \overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))} + (\overline{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k}) \cdot \overline{\int_{k}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}}(\beta'(\tau))^{2}d\tau}.$$
(2.36)

Deleting the common term $\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))}$ in (2.34) and (2.36), we have from (2.32) that

$$\overline{(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-k)\int_{k}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}}(\beta'(\tau))^{2}d\tau} - \overline{(\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})-\beta(k))^{2}}$$

$$= (\overline{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}}-k) \cdot \overline{\int_{k}^{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}}(\beta'(\tau))^{2}d\tau} - (\overline{\beta(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})}-\beta(k))^{2},$$
(2.37)

which is same to the weak limit equation we obtained for the following scalar conservation law with the viscosity (see Theorem 3.1.1 in [22] for the details)

$$T_t + \beta(T)_x = \varepsilon T_{xx}.$$
(2.38)

Therefore, If $meas\{T : \beta''(T) = 0\} = 0$, then there exists a subsequence (still labelled $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$) such that $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to T(x,t)$.

If $\beta(T) = bT$, we apply for the div-curl lemma to the following pairs of functions

$$(c, T^{\varepsilon, \delta}), \quad (S^{\varepsilon, \delta} T^{\varepsilon, \delta} + bT^{\varepsilon, \delta}), T^{\varepsilon, \delta} f(S^{\varepsilon, \delta}, T^{\varepsilon, \delta}) + \alpha(T^{\varepsilon, \delta}))$$
(2.39)

to obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2} + b\overline{(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2} = \overline{(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}T^{\varepsilon,\delta} + bT^{\varepsilon,\delta})} \cdot \overline{T^{\varepsilon,\delta}} = ST^2 + bT^2.$$
(2.40)

Letting $h(T) = T^2$ in (2.32), we know that $\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2} = \overline{S(T^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2}$ and so from (2.40) that

$$(S+b)\overline{(T^{\varepsilon,\delta}-T)^2} = 0.$$
(2.41)

Since $S \leq 1$, if b < -1, then there exists a subsequence (still labelled $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$) such that $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to T(x,t)$ a.e. on any bounded and open set $\Omega \subset R \times R^+$, and if b = -1, $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to T(x,t)$ almost everywhere on the set $S_+ = \{(x,t) : 0 \leq S(x,t) < 1\}$. Lemma 6 is proved.

Lemma 7 If meas $\{S : f_{SS}(S,T) = 0\} = 0$ for any fixed T, then there exists a subsequence (still labelled $S^{\varepsilon}(x,t)$) such that

$$S^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to S(x,t)$$
 (2.42)

a.e. on any bounded and open set $\Omega \subset R \times R^+$.

Proof. (I). First, we suppose $\beta''(T)f_T(S,T) \ge 0$ (or ≤ 0). Then it is easy to prove that

$$f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_t + \left(\int_1^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_S^2(\tau, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) d\tau\right)_x \quad \text{are compact in} \quad H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+).$$
(2.43)

In fact, multiplying (2.7) by f_S , (2.6) by f_T respectively, then adding the result, we have (for simplicity, we omit the superscript ε, δ)

$$f_{t} + \left(\int_{1}^{S} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T) d\tau\right)_{x}$$

$$= \varepsilon f_{S}S_{xx} + \varepsilon f_{T}T_{xx} + \frac{2\varepsilon f_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_{x}T_{x} + \varepsilon \frac{\beta''(T)f_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}^{2}$$

$$+ \int_{1}^{S} 2f_{S}(\tau, T)f_{ST}(\tau, T)d\tau T_{x} - f_{S}f_{T}T_{x} - f_{T}\frac{f+\alpha'(T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}$$

$$= \varepsilon (f_{S}S_{x} + f_{T}T_{x})_{x} - \varepsilon (f_{SS}S_{x}^{2} + 2f_{ST}S_{x}T_{x} + f_{TT}T_{x}^{2}) + \frac{2\varepsilon f_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_{x}T_{x}$$

$$+ \varepsilon \frac{\beta''(T)f_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}^{2} + \int_{1}^{S} 2f_{S}(\tau, T)f_{ST}(\tau, T)d\tau T_{x} - f_{S}f_{T}T_{x} - f_{T}\frac{f+\alpha'(T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}.$$

$$(2.44)$$

Since $|T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}|$, $\varepsilon(S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$ and $\varepsilon((\delta - \beta'(T) - S)(T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$ are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, the following terms on the right-hand side of (2.44)

$$|-\varepsilon f_{SS}S_x^2 + \int_1^S 2f_S(\tau, T)f_{ST}(\tau, T)d\tau T_x - f_S f_T T_x| \le \varepsilon M S_x^2 + M|T_x| \quad (2.45)$$

are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. Since f(1,T) = 1, then $f_T(1,T) = f_{TT}(1,T) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} | &-\varepsilon f_{TT} T_x^2 - f_T \frac{f + \alpha'(T)}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} T_x | \\ &= |\varepsilon (f_{TT}(S, T) - f_{TT}(1, T)) T_x^2 + (f_T(S, T) - f_T(1, T)) \frac{f + \alpha'(T)}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} T_x | \\ &= \varepsilon |f_{TTS}(\theta_1, T) (S - 1) T_x^2 + |f_{TS}(\theta_2, T) (S - 1) \frac{f + \alpha'(T)}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} T_x | \\ &\leq \varepsilon M (\delta - \beta'(T) - S) (T_x^{\varepsilon, \delta})^2 + M |T_x| \end{aligned}$$
(2.46)

are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, where $\theta_i \in (S, 1), i = 1, 2$.

In we choose $g(S) = (1 + \delta - S)^l, l \in (0, 1)$ in (2.23), since the following term in (2.23)

$$|g'(S)f_T(S,T)| = |g'(S)(f_T(S,T) - f_T(1,T))| \le \alpha(1+\delta-S)^l |f_{TS}(\theta_3,T)| \quad (2.47)$$

is uniformly bounded, we can prove from (2.23) that

$$\varepsilon (1+\delta-S)^{l-2} (S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$$
 are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, (2.48)

and so the terms on the right-hand side of (2.44)

$$|-2\varepsilon f_{ST}S_xT_x + \frac{2\varepsilon f_T}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_xT_x| = 2\varepsilon|-f_{ST} + \frac{f_T(S,T)-f_T(1,T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}||S_xT_x|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon M|S_xT_x| \leq \varepsilon M(1+\delta-S)^{-1}(S_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2 + \varepsilon M(1+\delta-S)(T_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2$$
(2.49)

are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$.

Since $\beta''(T)f_T(S,T) \ge 0$ (or ≤ 0), we may multiply a suitable nonnegative test function to (2.44), to obtain that

$$\varepsilon \left| \frac{\beta''(T) f_T}{S + \beta'(T) - \delta} \right| T_x^2$$
 are bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$. (2.50)

Similarly, we can prove that the left terms on the right-hand side of (2.44)

$$\varepsilon (f_S S_x + f_T T_x)_x$$
 are compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, (2.51)

so, the the right-hand side of (2.44) is compact in $W_{loc}^{-1,\alpha}(R \times R^+), \alpha \in (1,2)$. It is clear that the left-hand side of (2.44) is bounded in $W_{loc}^{-1,\infty}(R \times R^+)$, so the Murat's theorem gives us again the conclusion in (2.43).

Second, we apply for the div-curl lemma to the pairs of functions

$$(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}))$$
 and $(f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}), \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T)d\tau)$ (2.52)

to obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) d\tau - f^{2}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})} = \overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \cdot \overline{\int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) d\tau} - (\overline{f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})})^{2}.$$
(2.53)

Using the convergence given in Lemma 6, we have

$$|S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) d\tau - S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau, T) d\tau|$$

= $|S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} 2f_{S}(\tau, \theta) f_{ST}(\tau, \theta) (T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T) d\tau| \leq M |(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 1)(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)| \to 0,$
(2.54)

where M is a constant independent of ε, δ and $\theta \in (T, T^{\varepsilon, \delta})$; and

$$|f^{2}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - f^{2}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T)| = 2|f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, \theta)f_{T}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, \theta)(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)|$$

= $|f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, \theta)(f_{T}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, \theta) - f_{T}(1, \theta))(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)| \leq M|(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 1)(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)| \rightarrow 0$
(2.55)

since $f_T(1,\theta) = 0$, thus we may replace $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)$ in (2.53) by T(x,t) and obtain

$$\overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta} \int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau,T) d\tau} - f^{2}(S^{\varepsilon,\delta},T) = \overline{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \cdot \overline{\int_{1}^{S^{\varepsilon,\delta}} f_{S}^{2}(\tau,T) d\tau} - (\overline{f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta},T)})^{2}.$$

$$(2.56)$$

Therefore under the condition $\beta''(T)f_T(S,T) \ge 0$ (or ≤ 0), the proof of Lemma 7 can be completed by using the previous results on scalar hyperbolic equation if we consider T in (2.56) as a parameter ([26, 22]).

(II). Second, we prove Lemma 7 without the assumption $\beta''(T)f_T(S,T) \ge 0$ (or ≤ 0). Let

$$v = g(S), \quad F(v,T) = \int_{1}^{S} g'(\tau) f_{S}(\tau,T) d\tau.$$
 (2.57)

Then we have from (2.23) that

$$v_t + F(v,T)_x$$

$$= \varepsilon g(S)_{xx} - \varepsilon g''(S)S_x^2 \qquad (2.58)$$

$$-(g'(S)f_T(S,T) - \int_1^S g'(\tau)f_{ST}(\tau,T)d\tau)T_x.$$

Using the estimates given in (2.17) and (2.18), for any smooth function g(S), we have that

$$v_t^{\varepsilon,\delta} + F(v^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_x$$
 are compact in $H_{loc}^{-1}(R \times R^+)$. (2.59)

Multiplying (2.58) by F_v , (2.6) by F_T respectively, then adding the result, we have (for simplicity, we omit the superscript ε, δ)

$$F(v,T)_{t} + (\int_{1}^{v} F_{v}^{2}(\tau,T)d\tau)_{x}$$

$$= \varepsilon F_{v}v_{xx} + \varepsilon F_{T}T_{xx} + \frac{2\varepsilon F_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_{x}T_{x} + \varepsilon \frac{\beta''(T)F_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}^{2}$$

$$+ \int_{1}^{v} 2F_{v}(\tau,T)F_{vT}(\tau,T)d\tau T_{x} - F_{v}F_{T}T_{x} - F_{T}\frac{f+\alpha'(T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}$$

$$-\varepsilon F_{v}g''(S)S_{x}^{2} - F_{v}(g'(S)f_{T}(S,T) - \int_{0}^{S}g'(\tau)f_{ST}(\tau,T)d\tau)T_{x}$$

$$= \varepsilon (F_{v}v_{x} + F_{T}T_{x})_{x} - \varepsilon (F_{vv}v_{x}^{2} + 2F_{vT}v_{x}T_{x} + F_{TT}T_{x}^{2}) + \frac{2\varepsilon F_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_{x}T_{x}$$

$$+ \varepsilon \frac{\beta''(T)F_{T}}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}^{2} + \int_{1}^{v} 2F_{v}(\tau,T)F_{vT}(\tau,T)d\tau T_{x} - F_{v}F_{T}T_{x} - F_{T}\frac{f+\alpha'(T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_{x}$$

$$-\varepsilon F_{v}g''(S)S_{x}^{2} - F_{v}(g'(S)f_{T}(S,T) - \int_{0}^{S}g'(\tau)f_{ST}(\tau,T)d\tau)T_{x}.$$
(2.60)

Now we choose g(S) = S - 1. By simple calculations

$$F_v = F_S \frac{dS}{dv} = f_S(S,T), \qquad F_{vv} = \frac{f_{SS}(S,T)}{g'(S)}$$
 (2.61)

and

$$|F_T| = |\int_1^S g'(\tau) f_{ST}(\tau, T) d\tau| \le M(S-1)^2.$$
(2.62)

Thus the following terms on the right-hand side of (2.60)

$$\frac{2\varepsilon F_T}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}S_xT_x + \varepsilon \frac{\beta''(T)F_T}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_x^2$$

$$+ \int_1^v 2F_v(\tau,T)F_{vT}(\tau,T)d\tau T_x - F_vF_TT_x - F_T \frac{f+\alpha'(T)}{S+\beta'(T)-\delta}T_x \qquad (2.63)$$

$$-\varepsilon F_vg''(S)S_x^2 - F_v(g'(S)f_T(S,T) - \int_0^S g'(\tau)f_{ST}(\tau,T)d\tau)T_x$$

are uniformly bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$ and so compact in $W^{-1,\alpha}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$, where $\alpha \in (1,2)$, by the Sobolev's embedding theorem.

Similarly

$$\varepsilon (F_{vv}v_x^2 + 2F_{vT}v_xT_x + F_{TT}T_x^2)$$

$$= \varepsilon (f_{SS}g'(S)S_x^2 + 2f_{ST}g'(S)S_xT_x + \int_1^S g'(\tau)f_{STT}(\tau, T)d\tau T_x^2)$$
(2.64)

are uniformly bounded in $L^1_{loc}(R \times R^+)$ and so compact in $W^{-1,\alpha}_{loc}(R \times R^+)$.

Using the estimates given in (2.17) and (2.18) again, we have that the terms on the right-hand side of (2.60)

$$\varepsilon (F_v v_x + F_T T_x)_x = \varepsilon (f_S g'(S) S_x + \int_1^S g'(\tau) f_{ST}(\tau, T) d\tau T_x \qquad (2.65)$$

are compact in $H_{loc}^{-1}(R \times R^+)$.

Since the left-hand side of (2.60)

$$F(v^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})_t + \left(\int_1^{v^{\varepsilon,\delta}} F_v^2(\tau, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) d\tau\right)_x$$
(2.66)

are uniformly bounded in $W_{loc}^{-1,\infty}(R \times R^+)$, then the Murat compact embedding theorem [?] shows that they are also compact in $H_{loc}^{-1}(R \times R^+)$.

So, if we replace $(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}))$ in (2.56) by $(v^{\varepsilon,\delta}, F(v^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}))$, we can also prove the pointwise convergence of $v^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ or $S^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ given in (2.42). Thus Lemma 7 is proved.

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. When meas $\{T : \beta''(T) = 0\} = 0$ or $\beta'(T) = bT, b < -1$, since the pointwise convergence of $S^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $T^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ obtained in Lemmas 6 and 7, we may prove that (S,T) satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions by letting ε, δ in (2.1) go to zero directly. Similarly, we can prove the inequality $\eta(S,T)_t + q(S,T)_x \leq 0$, in the sense of distributions, for any smooth, convex, entropy $\eta(S,C)$ and the corresponding entropy flux q(S,C). So, the existence (1) in Theorem 1 is proved.

Let
$$\alpha(T) = \beta(T) = -1$$
. First, we have
 $|f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - f(S,T)|$
 $\leq |f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - f(S, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})| + |f(S, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - f(S,T)|$
 $= |f_S(\theta_1, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - S)| + |f_T(S, \theta_2)(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)|$
 $= |f_S(\theta_1, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - S)| + |(f_T(S, \theta_2) - f_T(1, \theta_2))(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)|$
 $= |f_S(\theta_1, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - S)| + |f_{TS}(\theta_3, \theta_2)(S^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 1)(T^{\varepsilon,\delta} - T)| \to 0,$
(2.67)

in the sense of distributions, since the convergence obtained in (2.30) and (2.42).

Similarly, letting F(S,T) = T(f(S,T) - 1), we have F(1,T) = 0 and

$$|T^{\varepsilon,\delta}(f(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - 1) - T(f(S,T) - 1)|$$

= $|F(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - F(S,T)| \to 0,$ (2.68)

in the sense of distributions, so the limit (S, T) satisfies system (1.1) by letting ε, δ go to zero in (2.1) directly.

Second, let $\eta(S, C) \in C^2$ be a convex, weak entropy of system (1.1) with the corresponding weak entropy flux q(S, C), where C = ST - T. We multiply (2.1) by (η_S, η_C) to obtain (for simplicity, we omit the superscripts ε and δ)

$$\eta(S,C)_t + q(S,C)_x$$

$$= \varepsilon \eta(S,C)_{xx} - \varepsilon (\eta_{SS}S_x^2 + 2\eta_{SC}S_xC_x + \eta_{CC}C_x^2) + \eta_C(S,C)(\delta T_t - \varepsilon \delta T_{xx})$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \eta(S,C)_{xx} + \delta \eta_C(S,C)(2\varepsilon \frac{S_x}{S - (1+\delta)}T_x - \frac{f-1}{S - (1+\delta)}T_x).$$
(2.69)

Since $\eta(1, C) = c_1, q(1, C) = c_2$, we can prove that

$$(\eta(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta}), q(S^{\varepsilon,\delta}, T^{\varepsilon,\delta})) \to (\eta(S,C), q(S,C)),$$
(2.70)

in the sense of distributions. Moreover,

$$\delta |\eta_C(S,C) \frac{f-1}{S-(1+\delta)} T_x| = \delta |\eta_C(S,C) \frac{f(S,T) - f(1,T)}{S-(1+\delta)} T_x| \le \delta M |T_x| \to 0,$$
(2.71)

and

$$2\varepsilon\delta|\eta_C(S,C)\frac{S_x}{S-(1+\delta)}T_x|$$

= $2\varepsilon\delta|(\eta_C(S,C) - \eta_C(1,C))\frac{S_x}{S-(1+\delta)}T_x|$ (2.72)
 $\leq \varepsilon\delta M|S_xT_x| \to 0,$

in the sense of distributions. Therefore, letting ε, δ go to zero in (2.69), we have that $\eta(S, C)_t + q(S, C)_x \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions, and so complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments: This paper is partially supported by the China Scholarship Council, the NSF No. 11271105 of China, and a Humboldt fellowship of Germany.

References

- T. Barkve, The Riemann Problem for a Nonstrictly Hyperbolic System Modeling Nonisothermal, Two-Phase Flow in a Porous Medium, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 49(1989), 784-798.
- [2] F. J. Fayers, Some theoretical results concerning the displacement of a viscous oil by a hot fluid in a porous medium, J. Fluid. Mech., 13 (1962), 65-76.
- [3] B. Keyfitz and H. Kranzer, A system of nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws arising in elasticity, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 72 (1980), 219-241.
- [4] A. Aw and M. Rascle, Resurrection of "second order" models of traffic flow, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 60 (2000), 916-938.
- [5] B. Temple, Global solution of the Cauchy problem for a class of 2 × 2 nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws, Advances in Applied Mathematics 3 (1982), 335-375.
- [6] E. Isaacson and B. Temple, Analysis of a singular hyperbolic system of conservation laws, J. Differential Equations, 65 (1986), 250-268.
- [7] A. Tveito and R. Winther, Existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence for a system of conservation laws modelling polymer flooding, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 22 (1991), 905-933.

- [8] C. Klingenberg and N. H. Risebro, Stability of a resonant system of conservation laws modeling polymer flow with gravitation, J. Diff. Eqs., 170 (2001), 344-380.
- [9] T.-P. Liu and J.-H. Wang, On a hyperbolic system of conservation laws which is not strictly hyperbolic, J. Diff. Eqs., 57 (1985), 1-14.
- [10] A. Kearsley and A. Reiff, Existence of weak solutions to a class of nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws with non-interacting waves, Pacific J. of Math., 205 (2002), 153-170.
- [11] H. Freistuhler, On the Cauchy problem for a class of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, J. Diff. Eqs., 112 (1994), 170-178.
- [12] H. Frid, Asymptotic Stability of Non-planar Riemann Solutions for Multi-D Systems of Conservation Laws With Symmetric Nonlinearities, J. Hyperbolic Differential Equations, 1 (2004), 567-579.
- [13] E. Yu. Panov, On the theory of generalized entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem for a class of non-strictly hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, Sbornik: Mathematics, 191 (2000), 121-150.
- [14] Y.-G. Lu, Existence of Global Bounded Weak Solutions to a Non-Symmetric System of Keyfitz-Kranzer type, J. Funct. Anal., 261 (2011), 2797-2815.
- [15] Y.-G. Lu, Existence of Global Bounded Weak Solutions to a Symmetric System of Keyfitz-Kranzer Type, Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications, 13 (2012),235-240.
- [16] Y.-G. Lu, Existence of global entropy solutions to general system of Keyfitz-Kranzer type, J. Funct. Anal., 264 (2013), 2457-2468.
- [17] M. Garavello and B. Piccoli, Traffic flow on a road network using the Aw-Rascle model, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 31 (2006), 243-275.
- [18] M. Godvik and H. Hanche-Olsen, Existence of Solutions for the AW-Rascle Traffic Flow Model with Vacuum, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 5 (2008), 45-64.

- [19] T. Johansen and R. Winther, The solution of the Riemann problem for a hyperbolic system of conservation laws modelling polymer flooding, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 19 (1988), 541-566.
- [20] Y.-G. Lu, Existence of Global Weak Entropy Solutions to Some Nonstrictly Hyperbolic Systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45 (2013), 3592-3610.
- [21] R. Juanes and M. J. Blunt, Analytical Solutions to Multiphase First-Contact Miscible Models with Viscous Fingering, Transport in Porous Media 64 (2006), 339-373.
- [22] Y.-G. Lu, Hyperbolic Conservation Laws and the Compensated Compactness Method, Vol. 128, Chapman and Hall, CRC Press, New York, 2002.
- [23] D. Serre, Solutions à variations bornées pour certains systèmes hyperboliques de lois de conservation, J. Diff. Eqs., 68 (1987), 137-168.
- [24] F. Murat, Compacité par compensation, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 5 (1978), 489-507.
- [25] T. Tartar, Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations, In: Research Notes in Mathematics, Nonlinear Analysis and Mechanics, Heriot-Watt symposium, Vol. 4, ed. R. J. Knops, Pitman Press, London, 1979. Anal., 261 (2011), 2797-2815.
- [26] K. H. Karlsen and J. D. Towers, Convergence of the Lax-Friedrichs scheme and stability for conservation laws with a discontinuous space-time dependent flux, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Ser. B., 25 (2004), 287-318.