BGK MODEL FOR RAREFIED GAS IN A BOUNDED DOMAIN

HONGXU CHEN*, CHRISTIAN KLINGENBERG, AND MARLIES PIRNER

Abstract: We study the Bathnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) equation in a smooth bounded domain featuring a diffusive reflection boundary condition with general collision frequency. We prove that the BGK equation admits a unique global solution with an exponential convergence rate if the initial condition is a small perturbation around the global Maxwellian in the L^∞ space. For the proof we utilize the dissipative nature from the linearized BGK operator and develop several estimates for the nonlinear BGK operator in L^∞ framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of a monatomic gas without chemical reactions is known to be described by the celebrated Boltzmann equation. But the complicated structure of the collision operator has long been a major obstacle in developing efficient numerical methods [13]. Under certain assumptions, the complicated interaction terms of the Boltzmann equation can be simplified by a so called BGK approximation, consisting of a collision frequency multiplied by the deviation of the distributions from local Maxwellians. This approximation is constructed in a way such that it has the same main properties of the Boltzmann equation namely conservation of mass, momentum and energy. In addition, it has an H-theorem with an entropy inequality leading to an equilibrium which is a Maxwellian. It is used in many applications and there exist many extensions to deal with gas mixtures, ellipsoid statistical (ES-BGK) models, polyatomic molecules, chemical reactions or quantum gases; see for example [3, 4, 9–11, 22–25, 29, 30, 34, 35, 38, 40, 41].

In this paper, we consider the initial-boundary value problem of the BGK equation in a smooth bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = \nu(M(F) - F), \tag{1.1}$$

where $F = F(t, x, v) \geq 0$ stands for the velocity distribution function of gas particles with velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time $t \geq 0$ and position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. $M(F)$ is the local Maxwellian defined as

$$M(F)(t, x, v) = \frac{\rho(t, x)}{(2\pi T(t, x))^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - U(t, x)|^2}{2T(t, x)}\right), \tag{1.2}$$

where ρ, U and T correspond to the macroscopic quantities given by the moments of F:

$$\rho(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t, x, v) \mathrm{d}v,$$

$$\rho(t, x)U(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t, x, v) v \mathrm{d}v,$$

$$3\rho(t, x)T(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t, x, v)|v - U(t, x)|^2 \mathrm{d}v.$$

The collision frequency ν takes the following form: for some constants η, ω:

$$\nu(x) := \rho^\eta T^\omega.$$

From the numerical point of view, the BGK model considerably simplifies the situation. But mathematical analysis is not necessarily easier, because the relaxation operator involves more non-linearity compared to the bilinear collision operator of the Boltzmann equation. In [36], Perthame established the global existence of weak solutions in whole space for the BGK model with constant collision frequency. Regularity and uniqueness were considered in [37] under the local existence framework in the torus. In a near-a-global-Maxwellian regime, the global existence in the whole space in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a polynomial convergence to equilibrium

was established in [7]. In [42], for a wide class of non-trivial collision frequencies, the existence of a unique global smooth solution is established in the torus under a close-to-equilibrium assumption on the initial data and an exponential decay estimate is established in a high order energy norm. There are also various extensions of the previous result to more complicated BGK-type equations as the BGK equation for gas mixtures in [5], the ellipsoidal BGK model [43], relativistic and quantum BGK models [6, 31]. Moreover, a method to construct sharp convergence rates for the BGK equation is given in [1, 2]. All results here, concerning exponential convergence to equilibrium are in the torus and use a high order energy method to show exponential convergence for the non-linear BGK equation in a close-to-equilibrium regime.

In this article we aim to construct a unique solution to the boundary value problem of the BGK equation in a bounded domain. Similar to the torus case, we expect that the solution converges exponentially fast to a Maxwellian.

In the presence of the boundary, due to the characteristic nature, the kinetic equation exhibits singularities near the boundary [15,16,18,27,28,32], the high order energy method and Fourier transform method(see [19]) become unavailable. To address the challenges posed by the nonlinear BGK operator, in the paper we focus on constructing a low-regularity solution, specifically achieving L^∞ control without relying on the embedding $H^2 \subset L^\infty$. The linear BGK operator possesses a dissipation property for the microscopic components in the L^2 energy estimate, which allows us to manage the additional nonlinearity introduced by the BGK operator by seeking a solution in the space $L^2 \cap L^\infty$. Guo proposed this $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ framework in [26], which established global well-posedness and exponential convergence to the global Maxwellian for the Boltzmann equation including diffuse and specular boundary condition. This breakthrough has significantly advanced the study of the boundary value problem of the Boltzmann equation, we refer to [33] for the specular boundary and [8, 12, 14, 17] for intermediate status between pure diffuse reflection and pure specular reflection. Our main purpose in this paper is to propose an effective method to construct the BGK solution in the low regularity space $L^2_x \cap L^\infty_x$. Thus we only focus on the pure diffuse reflection boundary condition. Other interesting problems, such as the well-posedness theory under different boundary conditions, the stationary solutions, the regularity issues, the hydrodynamic limits, etc., are left for future study. We note that the diffuse reflection boundary condition corresponds to the no-slip boundary condition in the hydrodynamic limit, cf. [39].

To the end, we denote the boundary of the phase space as

$$\gamma := \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3\}.$$

Let $n = n(x)$ be the outward normal direction at $x \in \partial\Omega$. We decompose γ as

$$\gamma_- = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v < 0\},$$
$$\gamma_+ = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v > 0\},$$
$$\gamma_0 = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v = 0\}.$$

The diffusive reflection boundary condition is prescribed for the incoming phase space:

$$F(t, x, v)|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu \mu(v) \int_{n(x)\cdot u>0} F(t, x, u)(n(x) \cdot u)\mathrm{d}u,$$

where μ corresponds to the normalized global Maxwellian:

$$\mu(v) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}.$$

The constant $c_\mu = \sqrt{2\pi}$ is chosen to satisfy $\int_{n(x)\cdot v<0} c_\mu \mu(v)|n(x) \cdot v|dv = 1$ so that $c_\mu \mu(v)|n(x) \cdot v|$ is a probability measure on the half velocity space $\{\mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v < 0\}$. Note that the mass flux is vanishing at the boundaries, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (n(x) \cdot v)F(t, x, v)\mathrm{d}v = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega.$$

We seek the solution around the global Maxwellian, which takes the form $F = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f$. Then, the following equation for f can be derived

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}f = \Gamma(f) & \text{in } (0,\infty) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f(t,x,v)|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \displaystyle\int_{n(x)\cdot u>0} f(t,x,u)\sqrt{\mu(u)}|n(x)\cdot u|\mathrm{d}u & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, \\ f(0,x,v) = f_0(x,v). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}$ is a linearized collision operator, and Γ a nonlinear collision operator. To define these operators, we first denote the orthonormal basis

$$\chi_0(v) := \sqrt{\mu(v)}, \quad \chi_i(v) := v_i\sqrt{\mu(v)}, \ i = 1,2,3, \quad \chi_4(v) := \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{\sqrt{6}}\sqrt{\mu(v)}.$$

We denote $\mathbf{P}f$ as the macroscopic quantities, which is defined as the L_v^2 projection of f onto the subspace spanned by χ_i:

$$\mathbf{P}f := \sum_{i=0}^{4}\langle f, \chi_i\rangle\chi_i = a(x)\chi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}b_i(x)\chi_i + c(x)\chi_4,$$

with

$$a(t,x) := \langle f, \chi_0\rangle, \quad \mathbf{b}(t,x) = (b_1(t,x), b_2(t,x), b_3(t,x)), \ b_i(t,x) := \langle f, \chi_i\rangle \ \text{ for } i = 1,2,3; \quad c(t,x) := \langle f, \chi_4\rangle,$$

where we have taken the usual inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$:

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v)g(v)\,\mathrm{d}v.$$

The linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ is then defined as $\mathcal{L}f = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f$. The nonlinear operator $\Gamma(f)$ is defined as the remaining term in the BGK operator (1.1):

$$\Gamma(f) := \frac{\nu(M(\mu + \sqrt{\mu}f) - \mu - \sqrt{\mu}f)}{\sqrt{\mu}} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f. \quad (1.4)$$

Here we highlight that $\Gamma(f)$ is a nonlinear operator of f, which exhibits a higher degree of nonlinearity compared to the bilinear Boltzmann operator. The derivation of $\mathcal{L}f$ and the explicit expression of $\Gamma(f)$ can be obtained by performing a Taylor expansion around the equilibrium state $(\rho, u, T) = (1, 0, 1)$. For the detailed derivation and the associated properties, we refer to the next section ((2.6) and (2.7) in Lemma 1).

We denote a velocity weight as

$$w(v) := e^{\theta|v|^2}, \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1. *Assume Ω is bounded and smooth. There is a constant $0 < \delta \ll 1$ such that if the initial condition $F_0(x,v) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f_0(x,v) \geq 0$ satisfies $\int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu}f_0(x,v)\,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x = 0$ and*

$$\|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} < \delta,$$

then there exists a unique solution $F(t,x,v) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f(t,x,v) \geq 0$ to the problem (1.3) such that $\int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu}f(t,x,v)\,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \equiv 0$, and the following estimate holds true:

$$\|wf(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \leq 2Ce^{-\lambda t}\delta.$$

Here $C > 1$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ are constants.

Outline. In Section 2, we will derive the expressions of the BGK operator $\mathcal{L}$ and Γ, and establish their fundamental properties. In Section 3, we will derive the L^2 estimate for the linear BGK equation by leveraging the coercive property of $\mathcal{L}$. Finally, in Section 4, we conclude Theorem 1 by constructing the L^∞ estimate through the method of characteristics and employing an iterative argument for the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Derivation of $\mathcal{L}$ and Γ.** In this section, we derive the explicit expressions of $\mathcal{L}$ and Γ.

Lemma 1 ([42]). *(i) The collision frequency $\nu = \rho^\eta T^\omega$ in (1.1) can be linearized around the global equilibrium state $(\rho, T) = (1, 1)$ as*

$$\nu = 1 + \nu_p,$$

$$\nu_p = \sum_i \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \int_0^1 D_{(\rho_\vartheta, \rho_\vartheta U_\vartheta, G_\vartheta)}(\rho_\vartheta^\eta T_\vartheta^\omega) d\vartheta = \sum_i \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \int_0^1 Q_i d\vartheta, \tag{2.1}$$

where the notation in (2.1) is defined as

$$Q_i := \{D_{(\rho_\vartheta, \rho_\vartheta U_\vartheta, G_\vartheta)}(\rho_\vartheta^\eta T_\vartheta^\omega)\}_i, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\rho_\vartheta = \vartheta\rho + (1 - \vartheta)1, \ \rho_\vartheta U_\vartheta = \vartheta\rho U,$$

$$\frac{\rho_\vartheta |U_\vartheta|^2 + 3\rho_\vartheta T_\vartheta}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\rho_\vartheta = \vartheta\left\{\frac{\rho|U|^2 + 3\rho T}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\rho\right\},$$

$$G = \frac{\rho|U|^2 + 3\rho T}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{3\rho}{\sqrt{6}}, \ G_\vartheta = \vartheta G. \tag{2.3}$$

(ii) The local Maxwellian $M(F)$ in (1.1) can be linearized around μ as

$$M(F) = \mu + \mathbf{P}f\sqrt{\mu} + \sum_{0 \le i,j \le 4} \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \langle f, \chi_j \rangle \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1 - \vartheta) d\vartheta. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = \{D^2_{(\rho_\vartheta, \rho_\vartheta U_\vartheta, G_\vartheta)} M(\vartheta)\}_{ij}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$M(\vartheta) = \frac{\rho_\vartheta}{(2\pi T_\vartheta)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v - U_\vartheta|^2}{2T_\vartheta}}.$$

(iii) Plugging the perturbation $F = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f$ and the expansion of $M(F), \nu$ given by (2.4), (2.1) into the equation (1.1), we derive the expression of $\mathcal{L}(f)$ and $\Gamma(f)$ as

$$\mathcal{L}f = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\Gamma(f) = \nu_p \mathbf{P}f - \nu_p f + \sum_{0 \le i,j \le 4} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1 - \vartheta) d\vartheta \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \langle f, \chi_j \rangle \mu^{-1/2}$$

$$+ \nu_p \sum_{0 \le i,j \le 4} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1 - \vartheta) d\vartheta \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \langle f, \chi_j \rangle \mu^{-1/2}$$

$$:= \Gamma_1(f) + \Gamma_2(f) + \Gamma_3(f) + \Gamma_4(f). \tag{2.7}$$

To fully state the expression of Γ in (2.7), we derive the explicit expression of Q_i and $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *(i) Q_i in (2.2) takes the following form:*

$$Q_i = \frac{P_i(\rho_\vartheta, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta)}{R_i(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Here $R_i(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta) = r_{1,i}(\rho_\vartheta)^{r_{2,i}}(T_\vartheta)^{r_{3,i}}$ is monomial, $r_{1,i} > 0$ is a positive constant and $r_{2,i}, r_{3,i} \ge 0$ are non-negative constants. P_i is a polynomial

$$P_i(\rho_\vartheta, U_{\vartheta,1}, U_{\vartheta,2}, U_{\vartheta,3}, T_\vartheta) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_i} a_m(\rho_\vartheta)^{m_1}(U_{\vartheta,1})^{m_2}(U_{\vartheta,2})^{m_3}(U_{\vartheta,3})^{m_4}(T_\vartheta)^{m_5}.$$

Here a_m is a constant, $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_5)$, where $m_i \ge 0$ are non-negative constants, and $\mathcal{S}_i$ corresponds to a collection of finitely many m.

(ii) $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ in (2.5) takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij} := [D^2_{(\rho_\vartheta, \rho_\vartheta U_\vartheta, G_\vartheta)} M(\vartheta)]_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, v - U_\vartheta, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta)}{R_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta)} M(\vartheta). \tag{2.9}$$

Here $R_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta) = r_{1,ij}(\rho_\vartheta)^{r_{2,ij}}(T_\vartheta)^{r_{3,ij}}$ is a monomial, $r_{1,ij} > 0$ is a positive constant and $r_{2,ij}, r_{3,ij} \geq 0$ are powers of non-negative integers. P_{ij} is a polynomial

$$P_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, v_1 - U_{\vartheta,1}, v_2 - U_{\vartheta,2}, v_3 - U_{\vartheta,3}, U_{\vartheta,1}, U_{\vartheta,2}, U_{\vartheta,3}, T_\vartheta)$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_{ij}} a_m (\rho_\vartheta)^{m_1}(v_1 - U_{\vartheta,1})^{m_2}(v_2 - U_{\vartheta,2})^{m_3}(v_3 - U_{\vartheta,3})^{m_4}(U_{\vartheta,1})^{m_5}(U_{\vartheta,2})^{m_6}(U_{\vartheta,3})^{m_7}(T_\vartheta)^{m_8},$$

here a_m is a constant, $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_8)$, where $m_i \geq 0$ are non-negative integers, and $\mathcal{S}_{ij}$ corresponds to a collection of finitely many $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_8)$.

Proof. We can compute the derivative in Q_i as

$$D_{(\rho, \rho U, G)} \rho^\eta T^\omega = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{U_1}{\rho} & -\frac{U_2}{\rho} & -\frac{U_3}{\rho} & \frac{-3T+|U|^2+3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2U_1}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & -\frac{2U_2}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & -\frac{2U_3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{\rho} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \eta\rho^{\eta-1}T^\omega \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega T^{\omega-1}\rho^\eta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here the first matrix corresponds to $(D_{(\rho, \rho U, G)}(\rho, U, T))^{-1}$. This concludes (2.8).

Next we compute the derivative in $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ as

$$D_{(\rho, \rho U, G)} \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v-U|^2}{2T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{U_1}{\rho} & -\frac{U_2}{\rho} & -\frac{U_3}{\rho} & \frac{-3T+|U|^2+3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2U_1}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & -\frac{2U_2}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & -\frac{2U_3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{\rho} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} \\ -\frac{U_1-v_1}{T} \\ -\frac{U_2-v_2}{T} \\ -\frac{U_3-v_3}{T} \\ \frac{|v-U|^2-3T}{2T^2} \end{bmatrix} M(F).$$

The second derivative becomes

$$D^2_{(\rho, \rho U, G)} M(F) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{U_1}{\rho} & -\frac{U_2}{\rho} & -\frac{U_3}{\rho} & \frac{-3T+|U|^2+3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2U_1}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & -\frac{2U_2}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & -\frac{2U_3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D_{(\rho, U, T)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{U_1}{\rho} & -\frac{U_2}{\rho} & -\frac{U_3}{\rho} & \frac{-3T+|U|^2+3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2U_1}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & 0 & -\frac{2U_2}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho} & -\frac{2U_3}{3\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{\rho} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} \\ -\frac{U_1-v_1}{T} \\ -\frac{U_2-v_2}{T} \\ -\frac{U_3-v_3}{T} \\ \frac{|v-U|^2-3T}{2T^2} \end{bmatrix} M(F) \right).$$

This concludes (2.9).

$\square$

Lemma 3. *The nonlinear operator Γ in (2.7) satisfies*

$$\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(f)) = 0.$$

Proof. We use the definition of $\Gamma(f)$ in (1.4):

$$\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(f)) = \mathbf{P}\Big(\frac{\nu(M(F) - F)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\Big) - \mathbf{P}((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f) = \nu\mathbf{P}\Big(\frac{(M(F) - F)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\Big)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{4} \nu\chi_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (M(F) - F)\frac{\chi_i}{\sqrt{\mu}}\mathrm{d}v = 0.$$

In the second line, we used $\frac{\chi_i}{\sqrt{\mu}} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, \\ v_i, & i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ |v|^2, & i = 4. \end{cases}$ and the conservation of mass, momentum and energy.

$\square$

2.2. L^∞ estimate of Γ.

As discussed in the introduction, we aim to control the nonlinear operator Γ in L^∞ space. In this section, we establish the L^∞ control of Γ in Lemma 5. This result will play a crucial role in proving the a priori estimate.

Lemma 4. *We can control the macroscopic quantities using the L^∞ estimate of f as follows:*
If $\|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \delta$, then

$$\|\rho - 1, U, T - 1\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \delta. \tag{2.10}$$

This can further lead to

$$\int_0^1 |Q_i|\mathrm{d}\vartheta \lesssim 1, \tag{2.11}$$

$$e^{\theta|v|^2} \int_0^1 |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}|(1 - \vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\mu^{-1/2} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for } \theta < \frac{1}{4}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. We can estimate the density as

$$|\rho(t, x) - 1| = \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\mu + \sqrt{\mu}f]\mathrm{d}v - 1\Big| \leq \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu}w^{-1}\mathrm{d}v \leq C\delta.$$

Then we estimate the momentum as

$$|\rho(t, x)U(t, x)| = \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\mu + \sqrt{\mu}f]v\mathrm{d}v\Big| \leq \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu(v)}w^{-1}(v)|v|\mathrm{d}v \leq C\delta.$$

Thus

$$|U(t, x)| \leq \frac{C\delta}{\inf\{\rho(t, x)\}} \leq \frac{C\delta}{1 - C\delta} \leq 2C\delta.$$

Last we compute the energy as

$$|3\rho(t, x)T(t, x) - 3| = \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\mu + \sqrt{\mu}f)|v - U(t, x)|^2\mathrm{d}v - 3\Big|$$

$$\leq |U(t, x)|^2 + \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu(v)}w^{-1}(v)|v - U(t, x)|^2\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\lesssim \delta^2 + \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\mu(v)}w^{-1}(v)[|v|^2 + |U(t, x)|^2]\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \delta^2 + C\delta(C + (2C\delta)^2) \lesssim \delta.$$

With

$$T(t, x) - 1 = \frac{\rho(t, x)T(t, x) - 1}{\rho(t, x)} - \frac{\rho(t, x) - 1}{\rho(t, x)},$$

we derive that,

$$|T(t, x) - 1| \lesssim \frac{\delta}{1 - C\delta} + \frac{\delta}{1 - C\delta} \lesssim \delta$$

We conclude (2.10).

Next we prove (2.11). Recall the definition of $\rho_\vartheta, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta$ in Lemma 1, from (2.10) it is straightforward to verify that for some C

$$|\rho_\vartheta - 1, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta - 1| \leq C\delta. \tag{2.13}$$

By the property of Q_i in (2.8), we apply (2.13) to control the denominator as

$$1 \lesssim r_{1,i}(1 - C\delta)^{r_{2,i}}(1 - C\delta)^{r_{3,i}} \leq R_i(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta).$$

We control the numerator as

$$P_i(\rho_\vartheta, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta) \lesssim \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_i} |a_m|(1 + C\delta)^{m_1}(C\delta)^{m_2+m_3+m_4}(1 + C\delta)^{m_5} \lesssim 1.$$

This concludes (2.11).

Last we prove (2.12). From the property of $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ in (2.9), we apply (2.13) to control the denominator as

$$1 \lesssim r_{1,ij}(1 - C\delta)^{r_{2,ij}}(1 - C\delta)^{r_{3,ij}} \leq R_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta)$$

We control the numerator as

$$P_{ij}(\rho_\vartheta, v_1 - U_{\vartheta,1}, v_2 - U_{\vartheta,2}, v_3 - U_{\vartheta,3}, U_{\vartheta,1}, U_{\vartheta,2}, U_{\vartheta,3}, T_\vartheta)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_{ij}} |a_m|(1 + C\delta)^{m_1}(v_1 - U_{\vartheta,1})^{m_2}(v_2 - U_{\vartheta,2})^{m_3}(v_3 - U_{\vartheta,3})^{m_4}(C\delta)^{m_5+m_6+m_7}(1 + C\delta)^{m_9}M(\vartheta)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_{ij}} |v - U_\vartheta|^{m_2+m_3+m_4} \frac{1 + C\delta}{(2\pi(1 - C\delta))^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v - U_\vartheta|^2}{2(1+C\delta)}} \lesssim e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}}.$$

In the last line, we first bound polynomial by exponential as $|v - U_\vartheta|^{m_2+m_3+m_4} \lesssim e^{-c|v-U_\vartheta|^2}$ for some small c that depends on θ to achieve

$$|v - U_\vartheta|^{m_2+m_3+m_4} e^{-\frac{|v-U_\vartheta|^2}{2(1+C\delta)}} \lesssim e^{-\frac{|v-U_\vartheta|^2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}}.$$

Here $C(\theta)$ is a small constant that depends on θ.

Then we bound

$$e^{-\frac{|v-U_\vartheta|^2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}} = e^{\frac{-|v|^2+2v\cdot U_\vartheta - |U_\vartheta|^2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}} \lesssim e^{\frac{-|v|^2+|v|^2|U_\vartheta|^2+2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}} \lesssim e^{\frac{-(1-C^2\delta^2)|v|^2}{2(1+C\delta+C(\theta))}} \lesssim e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2(1+C\delta+2C(\theta))}}.$$

Since $\delta \ll 1$ and $\theta < \frac{1}{4}$, we can choose $C(\theta)$ and δ to be small enough such that

$$e^{\theta|v|^2} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2(1+C\delta+2C(\theta))}} e^{|v|^2/4} \lesssim 1.$$

Here the inequality does not depend on δ. We conclude the lemma.

$\square$

Lemma 5. *When* $\|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \leq \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \delta$, *we have the following* L^∞ *control of the nonlinear operator given in* (2.7):

$$\|w\Gamma_i(f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \|wf\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \quad \|e^{\lambda t}w\Gamma_i(f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\|w\Gamma_4(f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \|wf\|^3_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \quad \|e^{\lambda t}w\Gamma_4(f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|^3_{L^\infty_{x,v}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. We first prove (2.14). From (2.7), we apply (2.11) and compute

$$|w\Gamma_1(f)| \lesssim |w\mathbf{P}f| \sum_i \left| \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \int_0^1 Q_i \mathrm{d}\vartheta \right| \lesssim |\sum_i w\chi_i \langle f, \chi_i \rangle| \sum_i |\langle f, \chi_i \rangle|$$
$$\lesssim \|wf\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \sum_i \langle w^{-1}, \chi_i \rangle^2 \lesssim \|wf\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

Here we used $\theta < \frac{1}{4}$ so that $w\chi_i \lesssim 1$. The second inequality in (2.14) follows in the same computation:

$$|e^{\lambda t}w\Gamma_1(f)| \lesssim |\sum_i w\chi_i \langle e^{\lambda t}f, \chi_i \rangle| \sum_i |\langle e^{\lambda t}f, \chi_i \rangle| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

Then for $\Gamma_2(f)$ we apply (2.11) and have

$$|w\Gamma_2(f)| \lesssim |wf| \sum_i \Big|\langle f, \chi_i\rangle \int_0^1 Q_i \mathrm{d}\vartheta\Big| \lesssim \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2 \sum_i \langle w^{-1}, \chi_i\rangle \lesssim \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2,$$

$$e^{\lambda t}|w\Gamma_2(f)| \lesssim |e^{\lambda t}wf| \sum_i \langle w^{-1}, \chi_i\rangle \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2.$$

For $\Gamma_3(f)$, we apply (2.12) to have

$$|w\Gamma_3(f)| \lesssim w \sum_{0\le i,j\le 4} \Big|\int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\Big|\mu^{-1/2}\|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2 \lesssim \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2$$

$$e^{\lambda t}|w\Gamma_3(f)| \lesssim w \sum_{0\le i,j\le 4} \Big|\int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\Big|\mu^{-1/2}\|e^{\lambda t}wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2 \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^2.$$

This concludes (2.14).

Next we prove (2.15). We apply (2.11) and (2.12) to have

$$|w\Gamma_4(f)| \lesssim \sum_i \langle |f|, \chi_i\rangle \Big|\int_0^1 Q_i \mathrm{d}\vartheta\Big| \sum_{0\le j,k\le 4} w\Big|\int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\Big|\mu^{-1/2}\langle |f|, \chi_j\rangle\langle |f|, \chi_k\rangle \lesssim \|wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^3.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|e^{\lambda t}w\Gamma_4(f)| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}^3.$$

This concludes (2.15).

$\square$

2.3. **Stability estimate of Γ.** To prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions, we will employ a sequential argument. In this section, we derive the L^∞ stability estimate of Γ in Lemma 7.

Lemma 6. *Given $F_1 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f_1$ and $F_2 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f_2$ such that $\|wf_k\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \delta$, $k = 1, 2$. We denote (ρ_k, U_k, T_k) as the macroscopic quantities of F_k defined in (1.2), then we have*

$$\int_0^1 |Q_i(\rho_{1,\vartheta}, U_{1,\vartheta}, T_{1,\vartheta}) - Q_i(\rho_{2,\vartheta}, U_{2,\vartheta}, T_{2,\vartheta})|\mathrm{d}\vartheta \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$e^{\theta|v|^2}\int_0^1 |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\rho_{1,\vartheta}, v - U_{1,\vartheta}, U_{1,\vartheta}, T_{1,\vartheta}) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\rho_{2,\vartheta}, v - U_{2,\vartheta}, U_{2,\vartheta}, T_{2,\vartheta})|(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\mu^{-1/2}$$
$$\lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. From (2.10) we have

$$\|\rho_k - 1, U_k, T_k - 1\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \delta, \ k = 1, 2. \tag{2.18}$$

We compute the difference of the macroscopic quantities ρ, U, T as

$$|\rho_1 - \rho_2| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F_1 - F_2) \mathrm{d}v \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f_1 - f_2)\sqrt{\mu}\mathrm{d}v \right| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_1 - U_2| &= \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_1 v \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_2 v \mathrm{d}v \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F_1 - F_2) v \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_2 v \mathrm{d}v \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f_1 - f_2) v \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 v \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{d}v \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \|w f_2\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \frac{|\rho_1 - \rho_2|}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T_1 - T_2| &= \frac{1}{3} \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_1 |v - U_1|^2 \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_2 |v - U_2|^2 \mathrm{d}v \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_1 |v - U_1|^2 - F_2 |v - U_2|^2 \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_2 |v - U_2|^2 \mathrm{d}v \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F_1 - F_2| |v - U_1|^2 \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_2 \left| |v - U_1|^2 - |v - U_2|^2 \right| \mathrm{d}v + |\rho_1 - \rho_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 |v - U_2|^2 \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{d}v \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1 - f_2| |v - U_1|^2 \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 [(|U_1|^2 - |U_2|^2) + (U_1 - U_2) \cdot v] \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{d}v + \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&\lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + |U_1 - U_2| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

These estimates imply the following control: for integer power $m \geq 1$, due to (2.18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|(\rho_1^m - \rho_2^m, U_1^m - U_2^m, T_1^m - T_2^m)| \\
&\lesssim |(\rho_1 - \rho_2, U_1 - U_2, T_1 - T_2)| |\rho_1^{m-1} + \rho_2^{m-1} + U_1^{m-1} + U_2^{m-1} + T_1^{m-1} + T_2^{m-1}| \\
&\lesssim |(\rho_1 - \rho_2, U_1 - U_2, T_1 - T_2)| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

For positive power $m > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(\rho_1^m - \rho_2^m, T_1^m - T_2^m)| &\lesssim |\rho_1 - \rho_2| |\rho_1^{m-1} + \rho_2^{m-1} + T_1^{m-1} + T_2^{m-1}| \\
&\lesssim |(\rho_1^m - \rho_2^m, T_1^m - T_2^m)| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.20}
$$

Here $\rho_k^{m-1}, T_k^{m-1} \lesssim 1$ for finite m due to (2.18).

For positive power $m > 0$, again due to (2.18),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left| \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1^m} - \frac{1}{\rho_2^m}, \frac{1}{T_1^m} - \frac{1}{T_2^m} \right) \right| &= \left| \left(\frac{\rho_1^m - \rho_2^m}{\rho_1^m \rho_2^m}, \frac{T_1^m - T_2^m}{T_1^m T_2^m} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim |(\rho_1^m - \rho_2^m, T_1^m - T_2^m)| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.21}
$$

We compute the difference of $\rho_{k,\vartheta}, U_{k,\vartheta}, T_{k,\vartheta}$ using the definition in (2.3) and the computation (2.19), (2.20), (2.21):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\rho_{1,\vartheta} - \rho_{2,\vartheta}, U_{1,\vartheta} - U_{2,\vartheta}| \\
&= \left| \vartheta(\rho_1 - \rho_2), \frac{\vartheta(\rho_1 U_1 - \rho_2 U_2) - \vartheta U_{2,\vartheta}(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{\rho_{1,\vartheta}} \right| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \\
&|T_{1,\vartheta} - T_{2,\vartheta}| = \frac{2}{3\rho_{1,\vartheta}} \left| - \frac{\rho_{1,\vartheta}|U_{1,\vartheta}|^2 - \rho_{2,\vartheta}|U_{2,\vartheta}|^2}{2} - \frac{3}{2} T_{2,\vartheta}(\rho_{1,\vartheta} - \rho_{2,\vartheta}) \right. \\
&\left. + \vartheta \left\{ \frac{\rho_1 |U_1|^2 + 3\rho_1 T_1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\rho_1 - \frac{\rho_2 |U_2|^2 + 3\rho_2 T_2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\rho_2 \right\} \right| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to verify that we can achieve the same estimate for $\rho_{k,\vartheta}, U_{k,\vartheta}, T_{k,\vartheta}$ as (2.19), (2.20), (2.21):

$$|(\rho_{1,\vartheta}^m - \rho_{2,\vartheta}^m, U_{1,\vartheta}^m - U_{2,\vartheta}^m, T_{1,\vartheta}^m - T_{2,\vartheta}^m)| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}, \ m \text{ is a positive integer.}$$

$$|(\rho_{1,\vartheta}^m - \rho_{2,\vartheta}^m, T_{1,\vartheta}^m - T_{2,\vartheta}^m)| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}, \ m \text{ is a positive constant.} \tag{2.22}$$

$$\left|\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{1,\vartheta}^m} - \frac{1}{\rho_{2,\vartheta}^m}, \frac{1}{T_{1,\vartheta}^m} - \frac{1}{T_{2,\vartheta}^m}\right)\right| \lesssim \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}, \ m \text{ is a positive constant.}$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), the denominator of Q_i and $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ contain monomial of $\rho_\vartheta, T_\vartheta$, while the numerator contain polynomial of $\rho_\vartheta, U_\vartheta, T_\vartheta, v - U_\vartheta$ with integer powers. Then we can apply the computation (2.22) for the subtraction in (2.16) and (2.17). Then the lemma follows by a rather tedious but straightforward computation.

$\square$

Lemma 7. *Given f_1 and f_2 such that $\|e^{\lambda t}wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + \|e^{\lambda t}wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta$, it holds that*

$$\|e^{\lambda t}w(\Gamma(f_1) - \Gamma(f_2))\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. We will derive the lemma by estimating every term in (2.7).

We start with $\Gamma_1(f) = \nu_p(f)\mathbf{P}f_1$. From the definition of ν_p in (2.1), we compute that

$$\nu_p(f_1)\mathbf{P}f_1 - \nu_p(f_2)\mathbf{P}f_2 = (\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2))\mathbf{P}f_1 + \nu_p(f_2)\mathbf{P}(f_1 - f_2).$$

The second term is bounded using (2.1) and (2.11):

$$e^{\lambda t}|w\nu_p(f_2)\mathbf{P}(f_1 - f_2)| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}\|wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

For the first term, we use the property of Q_i (2.8) to have

$$e^{\lambda t}|\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2)|$$

$$\lesssim \sum_i \langle e^{\lambda t}|f_1 - f_2|, \chi_i\rangle \int_0^1 |Q_i(f_1)|\mathrm{d}\vartheta + \sum_i \langle e^{\lambda t}|f_2|, \chi_i\rangle \int_0^1 |Q_i(f_1) - Q_i(f_2)|\mathrm{d}\vartheta$$

$$\lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + \|e^{\lambda t}wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}\|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}. \tag{2.24}$$

Here we have used (2.11) and (2.16).

This leads to

$$|e^{\lambda t}w(\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2))\mathbf{P}f_1| \lesssim \|wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}.$$

Thus (2.23) holds for Γ_1.

Next we estimate $\Gamma_2(f) = -\nu_p(f)f$. We compute that

$$\nu_p(f_1)f_1 - \nu_p(f_2)f_2 = (\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2))f_1 + \nu_p(f_2)(f_1 - f_2).$$

The second term is bounded using (2.1) and (2.11):

$$|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\nu_p(f_2)| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}\|wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}.$$

For the first term, using the same computation as (2.24), we obtain

$$|e^{\lambda t}w(\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2))f_1| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}\|wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}.$$

Thus (2.23) holds for Γ_2.

Next we estimate $\Gamma_3(f) = \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq 4} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f)(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\langle f, \chi_i\rangle\langle f, \chi_j\rangle\mu^{-1/2}$. We compute that

$$e^{\lambda t}|w\Gamma_3(f_1) - w\Gamma_3(f_2)| \leq w \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq 4} \int_0^1 [\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f_1) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f_2)](1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta\langle e^{\lambda t}f_1, \chi_i\rangle\langle f_1, \chi_j\rangle\mu^{-1/2}$$

$$+ w \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq 4} \int_0^1 |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f_2)|(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta[\langle f_1 - f_2, \chi_i\rangle\langle e^{\lambda t}f_1, \chi_j\rangle + \langle e^{\lambda t}f_2, \chi_i\rangle\langle f_1 - f_2, \chi_j\rangle]\mu^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}^2\|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + \|w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}[\|e^{\lambda t}wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + \|e^{\lambda t}wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}] \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}. \tag{2.25}$$

Here we have applied (2.12) and (2.17). Thus (2.23) holds for Γ_3.

Last we estimate $\Gamma_4(f) = \nu_p(f) \sum_{0 \le i,j \le 4} \int_0^1 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f)(1-\vartheta) \mathrm{d}\vartheta \langle f, \chi_i \rangle \langle f, \chi_j \rangle \mu^{-1/2}$. We compute that

$$e^{\lambda t}|w\Gamma_4(f_1) - w\Gamma_4(f_2)| \le e^{\lambda t}|\nu_p(f_1) - \nu_p(f_2)|w \int_0^1 |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(f_1)|(1-\vartheta)\mathrm{d}\vartheta \langle f_1, \chi_i \rangle \langle f_1, \chi_j \rangle \mu^{-1/2}$$

$$+ |\nu_p(f_2)|e^{\lambda t}|w\Gamma_3(f_1) - w\Gamma_3(f_2)|$$

$$\lesssim \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \|wf_1\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}^2 + \delta\|wf_2\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \lesssim \delta\|e^{\lambda t}w(f_1 - f_2)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}.$$

For the RHS in the first line, we have used (2.24), (2.12). For the second line, we have used (2.1), (2.11) and (2.25). Then we conclude the lemma.

$\square$

3. L^2 DISSIPATION ESTIMATE

In this section, we consider the solution to the linearized BGK equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}f = g, \tag{3.1}$$

with the source term $g = g(t, x, v)$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{P}g = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The boundary condition of f is given by

$$f(t, x, v)|_{\gamma_-} = \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} (n(x) \cdot u) f(t, x, u) \sqrt{\mu(u)} \mathrm{d}u. \tag{3.3}$$

We denote

$$P_\gamma f := \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} (n(x) \cdot u) f(t, x, u) \sqrt{\mu(u)} \mathrm{d}u.$$

We have the following L^2-dissipation result.

Proposition 2. *Let Ω be an arbitrary bounded and C^1 domain. There exists $0 < \lambda \ll 1$ such that if the initial data f_0 and source data g satisfy (3.2) and*

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s}g(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s < \infty,$$

then there exists a unique solution to the problem

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}f = g, \ \ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \tag{3.4}$$

with f satisfying the boundary condition in (3.3). Moreover, we have that

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \lesssim e^{-2\lambda t}\Big\{\|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s}g(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s\Big\}, \ \ \forall t \ge 0. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove Proposition 2, we need to have the following L^2 dissipation estimate of the macroscopic quantities. Denote

$$|f|_{2,+}^2 := \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} |f(t, x, v)|^2 (n(x) \cdot v) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x, \ \ \mathrm{d}S_x \text{ is the surface integral.}$$

Lemma 8. *Suppose f solves the following equation,*

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}f = g, \tag{3.6}$$

with boundary condition (3.3). Here g does not need to satisfy the condition (3.2). It holds that

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \lesssim G(t) - G(0) + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|g(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f(s)|_{2,+}^2 \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

where $G(t)$ is a functional of $f(t, x, v)$ such that $|G(t)| \lesssim \|f(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}$ holds true for any $t \geq 0$.

The proof of the macroscopic dissipation estimate is standard. For completeness, we refer the proof to Appendix.

Proof of Proposition 2. We prove the decay estimate (3.5). Multiplying (3.4) with $e^{\lambda t}$ we get

$$[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{L}](e^{\lambda t} f) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} f + e^{\lambda t} g. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying Green's identity to (3.7), we have

$$\|e^{\lambda t} f(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma) e^{\lambda s} f(s)|^2_{2,+} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\lesssim \lambda \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} g(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.8}$$

Here we have applied the standard coercive property of the diffuse reflection boundary condition:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t, x, v)|^2 (n(x) \cdot v) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x = \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} |(1 - P_\gamma) f|^2 (n(x) \cdot v) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x.$$

We also applied $\mathbf{P}(e^{\lambda t} g) = 0$. Next, we apply Lemma 8 to (3.7), then we have

$$\int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} \mathbf{P} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s \lesssim G(t) - G(0) + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|\lambda e^{\lambda s} f\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} g(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t |e^{\lambda s} (1 - P_\gamma) f(s)|^2_{2,+} \mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.9}$$

where $|G(t)| \lesssim \|e^{\lambda t} f(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}$. Therefore, multiplying (3.9) by a small constant ε and adding the resultant to (3.8), we obtain that for some $C > 0$,

$$(1 - C\varepsilon) \|e^{\lambda t} f(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \left\{ (1 - C\varepsilon) \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} \mathbf{P} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - C\varepsilon) \int_0^t |e^{\lambda s} (1 - P_\gamma) f(s)|^2_{2,+} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq C(\lambda + \lambda^2) \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + C \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} g(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Since $\|e^{\lambda s} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|e^{\lambda s} \mathbf{P} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} = \|e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}$, we further obtain that for $\varepsilon \ll 1$:

$$\|e^{\lambda t} f(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq C(\lambda + \lambda^2) \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} f(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s + C \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \int_0^t \|e^{\lambda s} g(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Last we let $\lambda \ll 1$ be such that $C(\lambda + \lambda^2) \leq \varepsilon$, then the above estimate gives the desired decay estimate (3.5). We conclude the proof of Proposition 2. □

4. L^∞ ESTIMATE BY METHOD OF CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, we are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. We will control the nonlinear operator $\Gamma(f)$ in (1.3) using L^∞ norm. For this purpose, we start with the L^∞ estimate of the linear problem (3.1) in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Suppose the initial condition and source term in (3.1) satisfies*

$$\|w f_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} < \infty, \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{\lambda s} \|w g(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} < \infty, \quad \mathbf{P}(g) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

then there exists $C > 0$ such that the unique solution in Proposition 2 satisfies

$$\|wf(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + |wf(t)|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}\Big\{\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \sup_{0 \le s \le t} e^{\lambda s}\|wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}\Big\},$$

for any $t \ge 0$.

We will derive the L^∞ control using the method of characteristic. We use standard notations for the backward exit time and backward exit position:

$$t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) := \sup\{s \ge 0, x - sv \in \Omega\}, \ x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) := x - t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)v.$$

We denote $t_0 = T_0$, a fixed starting time. Since the backward trajectory may have multiple interactions with the boundary, we define the following stochastic cycle:

Definition 1. *We define a stochastic cycles as $(x_0, v_0) = (x, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and inductively*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x_1 := x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), \ v_1 \in \{v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x_1) \cdot v_1 > 0\}, \\
&v_k \in \mathcal{V}_k := \{v_k \in \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x_k) \cdot v_k > 0\}, \quad \text{for } k \ge 1, \\
&x_{k+1} := x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_k, v_k), \ t_{\mathbf{b}}^k := t_{\mathbf{b}}(x_k, v_k) \quad \text{for } n(x_k) \cdot v_k \ge 0, \\
&t_k = t_0 - \{t_{\mathbf{b}} + t_{\mathbf{b}}^1 + \cdots + t_{\mathbf{b}}^{k-1}\}, \quad \text{for } k \ge 1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

With the stochastic cycles defined, suppose f satisfies the linear equation (3.1), with $\mathcal{L}f$ defined in (2.6) in Lemma 1, we apply the method of characteristic to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w(v)f(T_0, x, v) \\
&= \mathbf{1}_{t_1 \le 0} w(v) e^{-T_0} f(0, x - tv, v) &(4.3)\\
&+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1 \le 0} \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0 - s)} w(v) \sum_{i=0}^4 \chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x - (t-s)v, u)\chi_i(u)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}s &(4.4)\\
&+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1 > 0} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} e^{-(T_0 - s)} w(v) \sum_{i=0}^4 \chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x - (t-s)v, u)\chi_i(u)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}s &(4.5)\\
&+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1 \le 0} \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0 - s)} w(v) g(s, x - (t-s)v, v)\mathrm{d}s &(4.6)\\
&+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1 > 0} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} e^{-(T_0 - s)} w(v) g(s, x - (t-s)v, v)\mathrm{d}s &(4.7)\\
&+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1 > 0} e^{-(T_0 - t_1)} w(v) f(t_1, x_1, v). &(4.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

The boundary term (4.8) is represented using the diffuse reflection boundary condition (1.3) and the stochastic cycle Definition 1:

$$f(t_1, x_1, v) = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x_1) \cdot v_1 > 0} f(t_1, x_1, v_1) \sqrt{\mu(v_1)}|n(x_1) \cdot v_1|\mathrm{d}v_1.$$

Applying the method of characteristic again to $f(t_1, x_1, v_1)$, with the stochastic cycle defined in Definition 1, it is standard to derive the following bound for the boundary term (4.8):

$$|(4.8)| \leq e^{-(T_0 - t_1)} w(v)$$

$$\times \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} \leq 0 < t_i} e^{-t_i} w(v_i) |f(0, x_i - t_i v_i, v_i)| \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \right. \tag{4.9}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_k > 0} w(v_{k-1}) |f(t_k, x_k, v_{k-1})| \mathrm{d}\Sigma_{k-1} \tag{4.10}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} \leq 0 < t_i} \int_0^{t_i} e^{-(t_i - s)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} w(v_i) \chi_\ell(v_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, u) \chi_\ell(u) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \tag{4.11}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} > 0} \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_i - s)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} w(v_i) \chi_\ell(v_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi_\ell(u) f(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, u) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \tag{4.12}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} \leq 0 < t_i} \int_0^{t_i} e^{-(t_i - s)} w(v_i) g(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, v_i) \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \tag{4.13}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} > 0} \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_i - s)} w(v_i) g(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, v_i) \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \left. \right\}. \tag{4.14}$$

Here $\mathrm{d}\Sigma_i$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma_i = \left\{ \prod_{j=i+1}^{k-1} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{w(v_i)\sqrt{\mu(v_i)}} \mathrm{d}\sigma_i \right\} \times \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} e^{-(t_j - t_{j+1})} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \right\}, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\sigma_i$ is a probability measure in $\mathcal{V}_i$ (4.2) given by

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_i = c_\mu \mu(v_i)(n(x_i) \cdot v_i) \mathrm{d}v_i. \tag{4.16}$$

The term (4.10) corresponds to the scenario that the backward trajectory interacts with the diffuse boundary for more than k times, while the other terms involve finite times interaction. This uncontrolled interaction times is estimated by the following lemma.

Lemma 9. *For $T_0 > 0$ sufficiently large, there exists constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of T_0 such that for $k = C_1 T_0^{5/4}$, and $(t_0, x_0, v_0) = (t, x, v) \in [0, T_0] \times \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3$,*

$$\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{t_k > 0} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{C_2 T_0^{5/4}}.$$

Proof. Since the characteristic in Definition 1 with repeated interaction with the boundary is fully determined by the diffuse reflection boundary condition, this statement is independent of the equation. We refer the proof to Lemma 23 in [26] for the Boltzmann equation. $\square$

To prove Proposition 3, among the characteristic formula (4.3) - (4.8), first we estimate the boundary term (4.8) in the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *For the boundary term (4.8), with λ given in Proposition 2, it holds that*

$$w(v)|f(t_1, x_1, v)| \leq C(\theta) e^{-t_1} \|w f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + o(1) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$+ C(T_0) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w g(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + C(T_0) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{d}\sigma_i$ in (4.16) is a probability measure, (4.9) is directly bounded as

$$(4.9) \leq C(\theta) e^{-t_1} \|w f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}, \tag{4.17}$$

where the constant $C(\theta)$ comes from

$$C(\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} |n(x_i) \cdot v_i| \sqrt{\mu(v_i)} w^{-1}(v_i) \mathrm{d}v_i.$$

The exponential decay factor e^{-t_1} in (4.17) comes from the combinations of the decay factor in (4.15):

$$e^{-t_i}e^{-(t_{i-1}-t_i)} \le e^{-t_{i-1}}, \ e^{-t_{i-1}}e^{-(t_{i-2}-t_{i-1})} \le e^{-t_{i-2}}\cdots.$$

For (4.10), with $\lambda \ll 1$ and $k = C_1 T_0^{5/4}$, we apply Lemma 9 to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.10) &\le \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{t_k>0} e^{-\lambda t_k} |e^{\lambda t_k} w(v_{k-1}) f(t_k, x_k, v_{k-1})| \mathrm{d}\Sigma_{k-1} \\
&\le o(1) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.18}
$$

Here the exponential decay factor $e^{-\lambda t_1}$ comes from the following computation:

$$e^{-\lambda t_k}e^{-(t_{k-1}-t_k)} \le e^{-\lambda t_{k-1}}, \ e^{-\lambda t_{k-1}}e^{-(t_{k-2}-t_{k-1})} \le e^{-\lambda t_{k-2}}\cdots.$$

For (4.13) and (4.14), they are directly bounded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(4.13) + (4.14)| &\le \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w g(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{\max\{0,t_{i+1}\}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_1-s)} e^{-\lambda s} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\Sigma_i \\
&\le Cke^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|w g(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \int_0^{T_0} e^{-(T_0-s)/2} \mathrm{d}s \\
&\le Cke^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|w g(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.19}
$$

Then we estimate (4.12), which reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_j} \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1}>0} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \mu^{-1/2}(v_i) w^{-1}(v_i) \\
&\times \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_1-s)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} \chi_\ell(v_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(u) f(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, u) \frac{\chi_\ell(u)}{w(u)} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.20}
$$

First we decompose the $\mathrm{d}s$ integral into $\mathbf{1}_{s \ge t_i - \delta} + \mathbf{1}_{s < t_i - \delta}$. The contribution of the first term reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.20)\mathbf{1}_{s \ge t_i - \delta} &\le \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \mu^{-1/2}(v_i) w^{-1}(v_i) \\
&\times \int_{\max\{t_{i+1}, t_i-\delta\}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_1-s)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} w(v_i) \chi_\ell(v_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(u) f(s, x_i - (t_i - s)v_i, u) \frac{\chi_\ell(u)}{w(u)} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \\
&\le o(1) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

Then we decompose the $\mathrm{d}u$ integral into $\mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge N} + \mathbf{1}_{|u| < N}$. The contribution of the first term reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.20)\mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge N} &\le \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \mathrm{d}\sigma_j \mu^{-1/2}(v_i) w^{-1}(v_i) \\
&\times \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i} e^{-(t_1-s)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} \chi_\ell(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{|u| \ge N} w(u) f(s, X_i(s), u) \frac{\chi_\ell(u)}{w(u)} \mathrm{d}s \\
&\le o(1) e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.22}
$$

Last, we consider the intersection of all other cases, where we have $s < t_i - \delta$, and $|u| < N$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.20)&\mathbf{1}_{s<t_i-\delta}\mathbf{1}_{|u|<N} \\
&\leq \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} d\sigma_j \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} \sqrt{\mu(v_i)}|n(x_i)\cdot v_i|dv_i \\
&\times \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_i-\delta} e^{-(t_i-s)} \int_{|u|\leq N} f(s, x_i-(t_i-s)v_i, u)duds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\delta^3} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} d\sigma_j \int_0^{t_i-\delta} e^{-(t_1-s)} \int_{|u|\leq N} \int_\Omega f(s,y,u)dudyds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.23}
$$

In the last line we have applied the change of variable $v_i \to y = x_i - (t_i - s)v_i \in \Omega$ with Jacobian

$$
\left| \det\left(\frac{\partial x_i - (t_i-s)v_i}{\partial v_i}\right)\right| = (t_i-s)^3 \geq \delta^3.
$$

Then we leverage the L^2 estimate by applying the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.23) &\leq C_{N,\delta,\Omega} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{V}_j} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} d\sigma_j \times \int_0^{t_1} e^{-(t_1-s)} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} ds \\
&\leq C_{N,\delta,\Omega} e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

Collecting (4.21), (4.22) and (4.24), we conclude that

$$
(4.12) \lesssim o(1)e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C_{N,\delta,k,\Omega} e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.
\tag{4.25}
$$

By the same computation, we have the same bound for (4.11):

$$
(4.11) \lesssim o(1)e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C_{N,\delta,k,T_0,\Omega} e^{-\lambda t_1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.
\tag{4.26}
$$

Summarizing (4.17), (4.18), (4.19), (4.26) and (4.25), we conclude the lemma.   □

Now we are in position to prove Proposition 3.

Proof of Proposition 3. We focus on the apriori estimate. We will discuss the construction of solution using approximating sequence at the end of the proof.

First of all, (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) are bounded as

$$
|(4.3)| + |(4.6)| + |(4.7)| \leq e^{-T_0}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + Ce^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.
\tag{4.27}
$$

Moreover, (4.8) is bounded by Lemma 10 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \leq &C(\theta)e^{-T_0}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&+ C(T_0)\left[e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.28}
$$

Then we focus on (4.5). We expand $f(s, x-(t-s)v, u)$ using (4.3) - (4.8) again along the characteristic with velocity u.

Denote $t_1^u := s - t_\mathbf{b}(x - (t-s)v, u)$ and $y := x - (t-s)v$, we have

$$(4.5) = \mathbf{1}_{t_1>0} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^4 w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}u \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)}$$

$$\times \Big\{ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u \leq 0} e^{-s} w(u) f(0, y - su, u) \tag{4.29}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u \leq 0} \int_0^s e^{-(s-s')} \mathrm{d}s' \sum_{j=0}^4 w(u)\chi_j(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(u') f(s', y-(s-s')u, u') \frac{\chi_j(u')}{w(u')} \mathrm{d}u' \tag{4.30}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u>0} \int_{t_1^u}^s e^{-(s-s')} \mathrm{d}s' \sum_{j=0}^4 w(u)\chi_j(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(u') f(s', y-(s-s')u, u') \frac{\chi_j(u')}{w(u')} \mathrm{d}u' \tag{4.31}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u \leq 0} \int_0^s e^{-(s-s')} w(u) g(s', y-(s-s')u, u) \mathrm{d}s' \tag{4.32}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u>0} \int_{t_1^u}^s e^{-(s-s')} w(u) g(s', y-(s-s')u, u) \mathrm{d}s' \tag{4.33}$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{t_1^u>0} e^{-(s-t_1^u)} w(u) f(t_1^u, y - t_\mathbf{b}(y,u)u, u) \Big\}. \tag{4.34}$$

The contribution of (4.29) in (4.5) is bounded by

$$\int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^4 w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}u \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} e^{-s} \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$\leq C(\theta) \int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)/2} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}T_0} \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \leq C(\theta) e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}} \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}. \tag{4.35}$$

Here we use $\theta < \frac{1}{4}$ such that $e^{\theta|v|^2} \chi_i(v) \lesssim 1$.

The contribution of (4.32) and (4.33) in (4.5) are bounded by

$$\int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^4 w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}u \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} \int_0^s \mathrm{d}s' e^{-(s-s')} e^{-\lambda s'} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} e^{-\lambda s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}u \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}. \tag{4.36}$$

The contribution of the boundary term in (4.34) can be bounded by applying Lemma 10:

$$(4.34) \leq \int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^4 w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}u \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} e^{-(s-t_1^u)}$$

$$\times \Big\{ e^{-t_1^u} C(\theta) \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + o(1) e^{-\lambda t_1^u} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wf(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$+ C(T_0) \big[e^{-\lambda t_1^u} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + e^{-\lambda t_1^u} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \big] \Big\}$$

$$\leq C(\theta) \int_{t_1}^{T_0} \mathrm{d}s e^{-(T_0-s)} \times \Big[e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + o(1) e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wf(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$+ C(T_0) \big[e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \big] \Big]$$

$$\leq C(\theta) e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}} \|wf_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + o(1) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wf(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}$$

$$+ C(T_0) \big[e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} wg(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \big]. \tag{4.37}$$

Then we focus on the contribution of (4.31) in (4.5). First we decompose the ds' integral into $\mathbf{1}_{s-s'<\delta} + \mathbf{1}_{s-s'\geq\delta}$. The contribution of the first term reads

$$(4.31)\mathbf{1}_{s-s'<\delta}$$

$$\leq \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^{4} w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} \int_{\max\{s-\delta,t_1^u\}}^{s} e^{-(s-s')} ds'$$

$$\times \sum_{j=0}^{4} w(u)\chi_j(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du' \frac{\chi_j(u')}{w(u')} e^{-\lambda s'} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$

$$\leq o(1) \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^{4} w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$

$$\leq o(1) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}. \tag{4.38}$$

Next we decompose the du' integral into $\mathbf{1}_{|u'|\geq N} + \mathbf{1}_{|u'|\leq N}$. The contribution of the first term reads

$$(4.31)\mathbf{1}_{|u'|\geq N}$$

$$\leq o(1) \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \sum_{i=0}^{4} w(v)\chi_i(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} \int_{t_1^u}^{s} e^{-(s-s')} e^{-\lambda s'} ds' \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$

$$\leq o(1) \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$

$$\leq o(1) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}. \tag{4.39}$$

Now we consider the intersection of all other cases, where we have $s' < s - \delta$ and $|u'| < N$. In such case we compute such contribution in (4.31) as

$$(4.31)\mathbf{1}_{s'<s-\delta,\ |u'|<N}$$

$$\leq \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du \frac{\chi_i(u)}{w(u)} \int_{t_1^u}^{s-\delta} e^{-(s-s')} ds' \int_{|u'|<N} du' f(s', y-(s-s')u, u'). \tag{4.40}$$

We apply the change of variable $u \to y' = y - (s-s')u$ with Jacobian

$$\left| \det\left(\frac{\partial[y-(s-s')u]}{\partial u} \right) \right| = (s-s')^3 \geq \delta^3$$

to derive that

$$(4.40) \leq \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \int_{\Omega} dy' \int_{t_1^u}^{s-\delta} e^{-(s-s')} ds' \int_{|u'|<N} f(s', y', u') du'$$

$$\leq C_{N,\Omega} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} \int_{0}^{s-\delta} e^{-(s-s')} \|f(s')\|_{L^2_{x,v}} ds'$$

$$\leq C_{N,\Omega} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \int_{t_1}^{T_0} ds e^{-(T_0-s)} e^{-\lambda s}$$

$$\leq C_{N,\Omega} e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.41}$$

Collecting (4.38), (4.39) and (4.41), we have

$$(4.31) \leq o(1) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.42}$$

By the same computation, we have

$$(4.30) \leq o(1) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} \|e^{\lambda s} w f(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0) e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.43}$$

We combine (4.35), (4.36), (4.37), (4.43) and (4.42) to conclude the estimate for (4.5):

$$(4.5) \le C(\theta)e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$
$$+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.$$

Similarly, we can have the same estimate for (4.4) as

$$(4.4) \le C(\theta)e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$
$$+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.44}$$

Last we collect (4.27), (4.28), (4.44) and (4.44) to conclude that

$$w(v)|f(T_0,x,v)|$$
$$\le C(\theta)e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \tag{4.45}$$
$$+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}.$$

Since the source term g and initial condition f_0 satisfy (4.1), the conditions in Proposition 2 are satisfied. With the weight $w(v) = e^{\theta|v|^2}$, we control the L^2 term as

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C(T_0)\Big[\|f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \int_0^{T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}g(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big]$$
$$\le C(T_0)\Big[\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}\Big]. \tag{4.46}$$

For given $0 \le t < \infty$, we denote

$$\mathcal{R}_t := \|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \sup_{0 \le s \le t}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

Recall that $C(\theta)$ in (4.45) does not depend on T_0. We choose T_0 to be large enough such that $C(\theta)e^{-\frac{T_0}{2}} < e^{-\frac{T_0}{4}}$. Then we further have

$$\|wf(T_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le e^{-\frac{T_0}{4}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$
$$+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0}\sup_{0 \le s \le T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.47}$$

For $0 \le t \le T_0$, with the same choice of $k = C_1 T_0^{5/4}$, it is straightforward to apply the same argument for $e^{\lambda t}w(v)|f(t,x,v)|$ to have:

$$\|wf(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C(\theta)e^{-\frac{t}{2}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda t}\sup_{0 \le s \le t}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$
$$+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda t}\sup_{0 \le s \le t}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda t}\sup_{0 \le s \le t}e^{\lambda s}\|f(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{4.48}$$

For $t = mT_0$, we apply (4.47) to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|wf(mT_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&\leq e^{-\frac{T_0}{4}}\|wf((m-1)T_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}g((m-1)T_0+s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&+ o(1)e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf((m-1)T_0+s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda T_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq T_0}e^{\lambda s}\|f((m-1)T_0+s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \\
&\leq e^{-\frac{T_0}{4}}\|wf((m-1)T_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda mT_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq mT_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda mT_0}\mathcal{R}_{mT_0} \\
&\leq e^{-2\frac{T_0}{4}}\|wf((m-2)T_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&+ e^{-\lambda mT_0}\Big[o(1)\sup_{0\leq s\leq mT_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)\mathcal{R}_{mT_0}\Big] \times \Big[1 + e^{-\frac{(1-4\lambda)T_0}{4}}\Big] \\
&\leq \cdots \leq e^{-\frac{mT_0}{4}}\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&+ e^{-\lambda mT_0}\Big[o(1)\sup_{0\leq s\leq mT_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)\mathcal{R}_{mT_0}\Big] \times \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}e^{-\frac{i(1-4\lambda)T_0}{4}} \\
&\leq o(1)e^{-\lambda mT_0} \sup_{0\leq s\leq mT_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda mT_0}\mathcal{R}_{mT_0}. \tag{4.49}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the fourth line we have applied the same computation as (4.46) to the L^2 term.

For any $t > 0$, we can choose m such that $mT_0 \leq t \leq (m+1)T_0$. With $t = mT_0+s$, $0 \leq s \leq T_0$, we apply (4.48) to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|wf(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} = \|wf(mT_0+s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&\leq C(\theta)e^{\frac{-s}{2}}\|wf(mT_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + o(1)e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0\leq s'\leq s}\|e^{\lambda s'}wf(mT_0+s')\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \\
&+ C(T_0)e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0\leq s'\leq s}\|e^{\lambda s'}wg(mT_0+s')\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda s} \sup_{0\leq s'\leq s}e^{\lambda s'}\|f(mT_0+s')\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \\
&\leq o(1)C(\theta)e^{-\lambda(mT_0+s)} \sup_{0\leq s\leq mT_0}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda(mT_0+s)}\mathcal{R}_{mT_0+s} \\
&\leq o(1)e^{-\lambda t} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|e^{\lambda s}wf(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C(T_0)e^{-\lambda t}\mathcal{R}_t. \tag{4.50}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the fourth line we have applied (4.49) and (4.46) to the L^2 term.

Since (4.50) holds for all t, we conclude that

$$
e^{\lambda t}\|wf(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \leq C(T_0)e^{-\lambda t}\Big[\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|e^{\lambda s}wg(s)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}\Big]. \tag{4.51}
$$

We conclude the a-priori estimate. To establish the existence of the solution, we will use the following approximating sequence:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t f^{\ell+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\ell+1} + f^{\ell+1} = \mathbf{P}f^\ell + g, \quad f^{\ell+1}(0,x,v) = f_0(x,v) \\
f^{\ell+1}|_{\gamma_-} = (1 - \frac{1}{j})c_\mu\sqrt{\mu(v)}\int_{n(x)\cdot u>0}f^\ell\sqrt{\mu(u)}(n(x)\cdot u)\mathrm{d}u.
\end{cases} \tag{4.52}
$$

By employing a similar argument using the method of characteristic, one can show that f^ℓ forms a Cauchy sequence in the L^∞ space. This leads to the existence of a solution f that satisfies (4.51). The uniqueness follows in a similar way. For conciseness, we do not present the detail of such computation, we refer to a detailed argument to Proposition 7.1 in [20].

We conclude the proof of Proposition 3.   □

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** We consider the following iteration sequence:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t f^{\ell+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\ell+1} + \mathcal{L}f^{\ell+1} = \Gamma(f^\ell), \quad f^{\ell+1}(0,x,v) = f_0(x,v), \\
f^{\ell+1}|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu\sqrt{\mu(v)}\int_{n(x)\cdot u>0}f^{\ell+1}\sqrt{\mu(u)}(n(x)\cdot u)\mathrm{d}u.
\end{cases}
$$

The initial sequence is defined as $f^0 = 0$. With the assumption on the initial condition $\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} < \delta$, we apply Proposition 3 to conclude that for $\ell = 0$, there exists a unique solution f^1 such that

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^1\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le \delta.$$

Inductively, we assume $\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le 2C\delta$. Then the condition in Lemma 5 is satisfied. Moreover, from Lemma 3, we have $\mathbf{P}(\Gamma(f^\ell)) = 0$, thus the condition of Proposition 3 is also satisfied.

We apply Proposition 3 to conclude that there is a unique solution $f^{\ell+1}$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^{\ell+1}\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + C\Big[\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}} + \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|^3_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \Big].$$

Here we have used Lemma 5 for $1 \le i \le 3$ to obtain the following estimates:

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w \Gamma_i(f^\ell)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|^2_{L^\infty_{x,v}},$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w \Gamma_4(f^\ell)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \lesssim \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|^3_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

We take $\|wf_0\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} < \delta$ to be small enough such that $2C\delta \ll 1$, then with $\|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le 2C\delta$, we further derive that

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^{\ell+1}\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C\delta + 4C^2\delta^2 + 8C^3\delta^3 \le 2C\delta.$$

Hence by induction argument, we conclude the uniform-in-ℓ estimate:

$$\sup_\ell \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w f^\ell\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le 2C\delta. \tag{4.53}$$

Next, we take the difference $f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell$. The equation of $f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell) + v \cdot \nabla_x(f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell) + \mathcal{L}(f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell) = \Gamma(f^\ell) - \Gamma(f^{\ell-1}), \\ f^{\ell+1}(0, x, v) - f^\ell(0, x, v) = 0, \\ [f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell]|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} [f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell] \sqrt{\mu(u)} (n(x) \cdot u) \mathrm{d}u. \end{cases}$$

We apply Proposition 3 to have

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w[\Gamma(f^\ell) - \Gamma(f^{\ell-1})]\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}$$

$$\lesssim \delta \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f^\ell - f^{\ell-1})\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

In the second line, we have applied the estimate in Lemma 7 to $\Gamma(f^\ell) - \Gamma(f^{\ell-1})$. The condition in Lemma 7 is satisfied due to the uniform-in-ℓ estimate (4.53).

Thus for some constant C_1, we have

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f^{\ell+1} - f^\ell)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C_1 \delta \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f^\ell - f^{\ell-1})\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

We choose $\delta \ll 1$ such that $C_1\delta < 1$. Then f^ℓ is a Cauchy sequence, and we construct a solution f to (1.3) such that $\|e^{\lambda t} w f(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le 2C\delta$ for all $t > 0$.

To prove the uniqueness, we let f and g be two solutions to (1.3) such that $\|e^{\lambda t} w f(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}, \|e^{\lambda t} w g(t)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le 2C\delta$. The equation of $f - g$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(f - g) + v \cdot \nabla_x(f - g) + \mathcal{L}(f - g) = \Gamma(f) - \Gamma(g), \\ f(0, x, v) - g(0, x, v) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 3, we have

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f - g)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \le C_1 \delta \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f - g)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}}.$$

Since $C_1\delta < 1$, we conclude that $\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|e^{\lambda s} w(f - g)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} = 0$, thus $f = g$. We complete the proof.

Positivity. Finally, we prove that the unique solution f satisfies $F = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f \geq 0$. We use a different sequence

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t F^{\ell+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x F^{\ell+1} = \nu^\ell (M(F^\ell) - F^{\ell+1}), \\
F^{\ell+1}|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu \mu(v) \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} F^\ell(n(x) \cdot u) \mathrm{d}u, \\
F^{\ell+1}(0, x, v) = F_0(x, v), \ F^0 = F_0(x, v).
\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, such an iteration preserves positivity. In the perturbation $F^\ell = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f^\ell$, the equation of $f^{\ell+1}$ reads

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t f^{\ell+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\ell+1} + \nu^\ell f^{\ell+1} = \mathbf{P} f^\ell + \Gamma_1(f^\ell) + \Gamma_3(f^\ell) + \Gamma_4(f^\ell), \\
f^{\ell+1}|_{\gamma_-} = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} f^\ell(n(x) \cdot u) \sqrt{\mu(u)} \mathrm{d}u, \\
f^{\ell+1}(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \ f^0 = f_0(x, v).
\end{cases}
$$

When $\|wf^\ell\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \ll 1$, from (2.10), the damping factor satisfies $1 - \nu^\ell > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, this equation shares the same structure of (4.52), with $g = \Gamma_1(f^\ell) + \Gamma_3(f^\ell) + \Gamma_4(f^\ell)$. With the estimate of the nonlinear operator in Lemma 5 and 7, one can employ a similar argument (proof of Proposition 3) to show that f^ℓ again forms a Cauchy sequence in the L^∞ space. This implies $\|w(f^\ell - f)\|_{L^\infty_{x,v}} \to 0$. By uniqueness, we conclude the positivity.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 8

We derive the L^2 dissipation estimate of the macroscopic quantities $a(t, x), \mathbf{b}(t, x), c(t, x)$ using special test functions with the following weak formulation to (3.6), here we emphasize that these variables only depend on t and x. This method was proposed by [20, 21] for the Boltzmann equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_0^t \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla_x \psi f \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s \\
&= \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} \{-\psi f(t) + \psi f(0)\} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} f \partial_t \psi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \int_\gamma \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}s \\
& \quad -\int_0^t \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{L} f \psi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} g \psi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s \\
&:= \{G_\psi(t) - G_\psi(s)\} + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

Step 1: estimate of $c(t, x)$.
We choose a test function as ψ_c be a solution to the following problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi := \psi_c &= v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c(|v|^2 - 5)\mu^{1/2}, \\
-\Delta \phi_c &= c \text{ in } \Omega, \ \phi_c = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

From a direct computation, the contribution of $\mathbf{b}$ vanish from the oddness, and the contribution of a vanish from the orthogonality of $v(|v|^2 - 5)\mu^{1/2} \perp \ker \mathcal{L}$. The LHS of (A.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
LHS &= 5 \int_0^t \int_\Omega c^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_{ij}^2 \phi_c \langle (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f, v_i v_j(|v|^2 - 5)\mu^{1/2} \rangle \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\
&= 5 \int_0^t \int_\Omega c^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s + E_1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.3}
$$

where, for any $\delta_1 > 0$, from the elliptic estimate to (A.2),

$$
|E_1| \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|c\|_{L^2_x}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 \mathrm{d}s.
$$

For J_1, we denote Φ_c as the elliptic equation

$$
-\Delta \Phi_c = \partial_t c \text{ in } \Omega, \ \Phi_c = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.
$$

Integration by part leads to

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla_x \Phi_c|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t c(s,x) \Phi_c \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.4}$$

Denote $\Lambda_j(f) := \frac{1}{10}((|v|^2 - 5)v_j \sqrt{\mu}, f)_v$. From the conservation of energy, we have

$$\partial_t c + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{6}\nabla \cdot \Lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f) = 0.$$

Then (A.4) becomes

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t c(s,x) \Phi_c \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left[-\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_c - \frac{1}{6}\Lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_c \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{3}(\mathbf{b} \cdot n)\Phi_c + \frac{1}{6}(\Lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f) \cdot n)\Phi_c \right) \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.5}$$

The boundary term vanishes from the boundary condition $\Phi_c(x) = 0$ on $x \in \partial\Omega$. The other term in (A.5) is controlled as

$$o(1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

Plugging the estimates to (A.4), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

Thus we compute J_1 as

$$|J_1| \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2. \tag{A.6}$$

Next, we apply boundary condition of ϕ_c and f to compute J_2:

$$\int_\gamma \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_{\gamma_+} \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma + \int_{\gamma_-} \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

We have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\int_{n(x)\cdot v > 0} + \int_{n(x)\cdot v < 0} \right] (|v|^2 - 5)\sqrt{\mu}(v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c)(n \cdot v) f \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$
$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v > 0} (|v|^2 - 5)\sqrt{\mu}(v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c)(n \cdot v)(f - P_\gamma f) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$
$$+ 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v > 0} (|v|^2 - 5)\sqrt{\mu}|n(x) \cdot v|^2 (n(x) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_c) P_\gamma f \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$
$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v > 0} (|v|^2 - 5)\sqrt{\mu}(v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c)(n \cdot v)(f - P_\gamma f) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$
$$\lesssim \delta_1 \|\nabla_x \Phi_c\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_1}|(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \lesssim \delta_1 \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_1}|(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2.$$

In the first equality, we have applied the change of variable $v \to v - 2(n \cdot v)n$. The third line vanishes by $\int_{n(x)\cdot v > 0}(|v|^2 - 5)(n \cdot v)^2 \mu \mathrm{d}v = 0$. In the last inequality we applied ellpitic estimate to (A.2) with the trace theorem:

$$\|\nabla_x \Phi_c\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \lesssim \|\phi_c\|_{H_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

We conclude the estimate for J_2 as

$$|J_2| \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.7}$$

For J_3, due to the exponential decay factor $\mu^{1/2}$ in ϕ_c, we have

$$|J_3| \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.8}$$

Here we applied the elliptic estimate to $\nabla_x \phi_c$.

For J_4, similar to the computation in (A.8), we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} g\psi_c \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \right| \lesssim \delta_1 \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.9}$$

Collecting (A.3), (A.6), (A.7), (A.8) and (A.9), we conclude the estimate of c as follows: for some $C_1 > 0$ and $G_c(t) := \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_c f(t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v$,

$$\int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \leq C_1 \Big[G_c(t) - G_c(0) + \delta_1 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \Big]. \tag{A.10}$$

Step 2: estimate of $\mathbf{b}(t, x)$. We use the weak formulation in (A.1) for the estimate of $\mathbf{b}$.

First, we estimate b_1. We choose a test function as

$$\psi_1 = \frac{3}{2}\Big(|v_1|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\Big)\sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_1}\phi_1 + v_1 v_2 \sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_2}\phi_1 + v_1 v_3 \sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_3}\phi_1.$$

We let ϕ_1 satisfy the elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{x_1}^2 \phi_1 - \Delta\phi_1 = b_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \phi_1 = 0 \text{ on } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{A.11}$$

From a direct computation, the contribution of a and c vanish from the oddness, and the LHS of (A.1) becomes

$$-\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_\Omega \Big[\frac{3}{2}v_1^2\Big(|v_1|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\Big)\mu\partial_{11}\phi_1 b_1 + v_1^2 v_2^2 \mu\partial_{12}\phi_1 b_2 + v_1^2 v_3^2 \mu\partial_{13}\phi_1 b_3$$

$$+ v_1^2 v_3^2 \mu\partial_{33}\phi_1 b_1 + v_1^2 v_2^2 \mu\partial_{22}\phi_1 b_1 + \frac{3}{2}v_2^2\Big(|v_1|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\Big)\mu\partial_{12}\phi_1 b_2 + \frac{3}{2}v_3^2\Big(|v_1|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\Big)\mu\partial_{13}\phi_1 b_3 \Big]\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s + E_2$$

$$= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_\Omega [2\partial_{11}\phi_1 b_1 + \partial_{22}\phi_1 b_1 + \partial_{33}\phi_1 b_1 + \partial_{12}\phi_1 b_2 - \partial_{12}\phi_1 b_2 + \partial_{13}\phi_1 b_3 - \partial_{13}\phi_1 b_3]\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s + E_2$$

$$= -\int_0^t (\Delta\phi_1 + \partial_{11}\phi_1)b_1 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + E_2 = \int_0^t \|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + E_2.$$

For E_2, using the elliptic estimate, we obtain that for any $\delta_2 \ll 1$,

$$|E_2| \lesssim \delta_2 \int_0^t \|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

For J_1, we let Φ_1 satisfy the elliptic equation

$$-\partial_{x_1}^2 \Phi_1 - \Delta\Phi_1 = \partial_t b_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \Phi_1 = 0 \text{ on } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Integration by part leads to

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega [2|\partial_{x_1}\Phi_1|^2 + |\partial_{x_2}\Phi_1|^2 + |\partial_{x_3}\Phi_1|^2]\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t b_1 \Phi_1 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.12}$$

Denote $\Theta_{ij}(f) := ((v_i v_j - 1)\sqrt{\mu}, f)_v$. From the conservation of momentum, we have

$$\partial_t b_1 + \partial_{x_1}(a + 2c) + \nabla_x \cdot \Theta_1((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f) = 0.$$

Then (A.12) becomes

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t b_1 \Phi_1 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left[(a+2c)\partial_{x_1}\Phi_1 + \Theta_1((\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_1 \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \Phi_1(a+2c)n_1 + \Phi_1(\Theta_1((\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f) \cdot n)\mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.13}$$

The boundary term vanishes from the boundary condition $\Phi_1(x) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega$.

The other term in (A.13) is controlled as

$$o(1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t [\|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2]\mathrm{d}s.$$

Plugging this estimate to (A.12), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \lesssim \int_0^t [\|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.14}$$

J_1 can be computed using the estimate (A.14):

$$|J_1| \lesssim \delta_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\lesssim \delta_2 \int_0^t [\|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2] + \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

Next we compute the boundary integral J_2 using the diffuse boundary condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\partial\Omega} f\psi_1(n(x) \cdot v)\mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\partial\Omega} P_\gamma f\psi_1(n(x) \cdot v)\mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v + \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} (1-P_\gamma)f\psi_1(n(x) \cdot v)\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$
$$\lesssim |(1-P_\gamma)f|_{L_{\gamma_+}^2}^2 + o(1)|\nabla_x \Phi_1|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \lesssim |(1-P_\gamma)f|_{L_{\gamma_+}^2}^2 + o(1)\|\phi\|_{H_x^2}^2 \lesssim |(1-P_\gamma)f|_{L_{\gamma_+}^2}^2 + o(1)\|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

In the second line, the contribution of $P_\gamma f$ vanished due to oddness. In the third line, we applied the trace theorem with the Poincare inequality.

J_3 and J_4 are bounded in a similar manner as (A.8) and (A.9):

$$|J_3| \lesssim \delta_2 \int_0^t \|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s,$$
$$|J_4| \lesssim \delta_2 \int_0^t \|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

For $G_{b_1}(t) := \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_1 f(t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v$, we conclude the following for b_1:

$$\int_0^t \|b_1\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \lesssim G_{b_1}(t) - G_{b_1}(0) + \delta_2 \int_0^t \|(a,b_1,c)\|_{L_x^2}^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t |(1-P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

The estimate to b_2 and b_3 are the same by modifying the test function (A.11) to the following:

$$\psi_2 = v_1 v_2 \sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_1}\phi_2 + \frac{3}{2}\left(|v_2|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\right)\sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_2}\phi_2 + v_2 v_3\sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_3}\phi_2,$$
$$- \partial_{x_2}^2 \phi_2 - \Delta\phi_2 = b_2,$$
$$\psi_3 = v_1 v_3 \sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_1}\phi_3 + v_2 v_3\sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_2}\phi_3 + \frac{3}{2}\left(|v_3|^2 - \frac{|v|^2}{3}\right)\sqrt{\mu}\partial_{x_3}\phi_3,$$
$$- \partial_{x_3}^2 \phi_3 - \Delta\phi_3 = b_3.$$

For $G_b(t) := \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3) f(t) dx dv$, we conclude the estimate for $\mathbf{b}$ as follows: for some C_2 and any $\delta_2 > 0$,

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds \lesssim C_2 \Big[G_b(t) - G_b(0) + \delta_2 \int_0^t \|(a,c)\|_{L_x^2}^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} ds + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 ds + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 ds \Big]. \tag{A.15}$$

Step 3: estimate of $a(t,x)$.
We choose the test function as

$$\psi = \psi_a := \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \phi_a v_i (|v|^2 - 10) \mu^{1/2},$$
$$- \Delta \phi_a = a, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \ \nabla_x \phi_a \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{A.16}$$

From direction computation, on LHS of (A.1), $\mathbf{b}$ vanished from oddness and c vanished from $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 - 10) v_i^2 (|v|^2 - 3) dv = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$LHS = 5 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_{ij}^2 \phi_a \langle v_i v_j (|v|^2 - 10) \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f \rangle dx ds$$
$$= 5 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds + E_3, \tag{A.17}$$

where, for any $\delta_3 > 0$,

$$|E_3| \lesssim \delta_3 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 ds.$$

For J_1 in (A.1), we denote

$$- \Delta \Phi_a = \partial_t a(s), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \ \nabla_x \Phi_a \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Integration by part leads to

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla_x \Phi_a|^2 dx ds = \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_t a \Phi_a dx ds. \tag{A.18}$$

From the conservation of mass $\partial_t a + \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t a \Phi_a dx ds = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_a dx ds - \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{b} \cdot n) \Phi_a dS_x ds. \tag{A.19}$$

The boundary term can be computed as

$$\int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{b} \cdot n) \Phi_a dS_x ds$$
$$= \int_0^t \Phi_a \Big[\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x) \cdot v > 0} (n(x) \cdot v) \sqrt{\mu} (P_\gamma f + (1 - P_\gamma)f) dv dS_x + \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x) \cdot v < 0} (n(x) \cdot v) \sqrt{\mu} P_\gamma f dv dS_x \Big] ds$$
$$\lesssim o(1) \int_0^t |\Phi_a|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 ds + \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 ds \lesssim o(1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 ds$$
$$\lesssim o(1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 ds.$$

In the third line, the contribution of $P_\gamma f$ vanished from the oddness, and we applied the trace theorem. In the last line, we applied the Poincare inequality.

The other term in (A.19) is controlled as

$$o(1) \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds.$$

Plugging the estimates to (A.18), we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.20}$$

We apply (A.20) to compute J_1 as

$$|J_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \Phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|\mu^{1/4}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2. \tag{A.21}$$

Then we apply the boundary condition of ϕ_a and f to compute J_2:

$$\int_\gamma \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_{\gamma_+} \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma + \int_{\gamma_-} \psi f \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

We have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \Big[\int_{n(x)\cdot v>0} + \int_{n(x)\cdot v<0} \Big] (|v|^2 - 10)\mu^{1/2}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_a)(n\cdot v)f \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v>0} (|v|^2 - 10)\mu^{1/2}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_a)(n\cdot v)(f - P_\gamma f) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$

$$+ 2\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v>0} (|v|^2 - 10)\mu^{1/2}(n\cdot\nabla_x\phi_a)(n\cdot v)^2 P_\gamma f \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n(x)\cdot v>0} (|v|^2 - 10)\mu^{1/2}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_a)(n\cdot v)(f - P_\gamma f) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x$$

$$\lesssim \delta_3 \|\nabla_x \Phi_a\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_3}|(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \lesssim \delta_3 \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_3}|(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2.$$

In the first equality, we used the change of variable $v \to v - 2(n(x)\cdot v)n(x)$. In the second equality, the third line vanishes due to the boundary condition of ϕ_a in (A.16). In the last inequality, we used the standard elliptic estimate of (A.16) with trace theorem: $\|\phi_a\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim \|\phi_a\|_{H_x^2} \lesssim \|a\|_{L_x^2}$.

We derive the estimate for J_2 as

$$|J_2| \lesssim \delta_3 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.22}$$

J_3 and J_4 are estimated similarly as (A.8) and (A.9):

$$|J_3| \lesssim \delta_3 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s, \tag{A.23}$$

$$|J_4| \lesssim \delta_3 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{A.24}$$

Collecting (A.17), (A.21), (A.22), (A.23) and (A.24), we conclude the estimate a as follows: for some $C_3 > 0$ and $G_a(t) := \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_a f(t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}v$

$$\int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \le C_3 \Big[G_a(t) - G_a(0) + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\delta_3} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s \Big]. \tag{A.25}$$

Step 4: conclusion

We summarize (A.25), (A.15) and (A.10). We let $\delta_2 = \sqrt{\delta_1}$, and multiply (A.15) by $\delta_1^{3/4}$ to have

$$\delta_1^{3/4} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \le C_2 \delta_1^{5/4} \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + C_2 \delta_1^{1/4} \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + C_2 \delta_1^{3/4} \Big[G_b(t) - G_b(0)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \int_0^t |(1 - P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s \Big]. \tag{A.26}$$

Then we evaluate $\delta_1 \times$ (A.25) $+$ (A.26) $+$ (A.10) as

$$\delta_1 \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \delta_1^{3/4} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq (C_3\delta_1 + C_1\delta_1) \int_0^t \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + C_2\delta_1^{5/4} \int_0^t \|a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + C_2\delta_1^{1/4} \int_0^t \|c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ C\Big[G_a(t) + G_b(t) + G_c(t) - G_a(0) - G_b(0) - G_c(0) \Big]$$

$$+ C\Big[\int_0^t \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \|g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t |(1-P_\gamma)f|_{2,+}^2 \mathrm{d}s \Big].$$

Here the constant C in the last two lines depends on C_1, C_2, C_3, δ_1. We choose small enough δ_1 such that

$$C_3\delta_1 + C_1\delta_1 < \delta_1^{3/4}, \quad C_2\delta_1^{5/4} < \delta_1, \quad C_2\delta_1^{1/4} < 1.$$

Finally, we conclude the lemma with $|G(t)| = |G_a(t) + G_b(t) + G_c(t)| = |\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\psi_a + \psi_b + \psi_c)f(t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v| \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2$.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] F. ACHLEITNER, A. ARNOLD, AND E. A. CARLEN, *On linear hypocoercive bgk models*, (2016), pp. 1–37.

[2] F. ACHLEITNER, A. ARNOLD, AND E. A. CARLEN, *On multi-dimensional hypocoercive BGK models*, Kinetic and Related Models, 11 (2018), pp. 953–1009.

[3] P. ANDRIES, K. AOKI, AND B. PERTHAME, *A consistent BGK-type model for gas mixtures*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 106 (2002), pp. 993–1018.

[4] G. C. BAE, C. KLINGENBERG, M. PIRNER, AND S. B. YUN, *BGK model of the multi-species Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation*, Kinetic and Related Models, 14 (2021), pp. 25–44.

[5] G.-C. BAE, C. KLINGENBERG, M. PIRNER, AND S.-B. YUN, *BGK Model for Two-Component Gases Near a Global Maxwellian*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 55 (2023), pp. 1007–1047.

[6] G.-C. BAE AND S.-B. YUN, *Quantum BGK Model near a Global Fermi–Dirac Distribution*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 52 (2020), pp. 2313–2352.

[7] A. BELLOUQUID, *Global existence and large-time behavior for BGK model for a gas with non-constant cross section*, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics, 32 (2003), pp. 157–184.

[8] A. BERNOU, K. CARRAPATOSO, S. MISCHLER, AND I. TRISTANI, *Hypocoercivity for kinetic linear equations in bounded domains with general maxwell boundary condition*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, 40 (2022), pp. 287–338.

[9] M. BISI AND M. CÁCERES, *A BGK relaxation model for polyatomic gas mixtures*, Communication in Mathematical Sciences, 14 (2016), pp. 297–325.

[10] M. BISI, M. GROPPI, AND G. SPIGA, *Kinetic Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model for fast reactive mixtures and its hydrodynamic limit*, Physical Review E, 81 (2010), p. 036327.

[11] A. V. BOBYLEV, M. BISI, M. GROPPI, AND G. S. AAND I. F. POTAPENKO, *A general consistent BGK model for gas mixtures*, Kinetic and Related Models, 11 (2018).

[12] M. BRIANT AND Y. GUO, *Asymptotic stability of the Boltzmann equation with Maxwell boundary conditions*, Journal of Differential Equations, 261 (2016), pp. 7000–7079.

[13] C. CERCIGNANI, *The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[14] H. CHEN AND R. DUAN, *Boltzmann equation with mixed boundary condition*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01058, (2024).

[15] H. CHEN AND C. KIM, *Regularity of stationary Boltzmann equation in convex domains*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 244 (2022), pp. 1099–1222.

[16] ———, *Gradient Decay in the Boltzmann theory of Non-isothermal boundary*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 248 (2024), p. 14.

[17] H. CHEN, C. KIM, AND Q. LI, *Local Well-Posedness of Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann Equation with Generalized Diffuse Boundary Condition*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 179 (2020), pp. 535–631.

[18] I.-K. CHEN, C.-H. HSIA, AND D. KAWAGOE, *Regularity for diffuse reflection boundary problem to the stationary linearized boltzmann equation in a convex domain*, in Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire, vol. 36, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 745–782.

[19] R. DUAN, S. LIU, S. SAKAMOTO, AND R. M. STRAIN, *Global mild solutions of the landau and non-cutoff boltzmann equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 74 (2021), pp. 932–1020.

[20] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra, *Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 323 (2013), pp. 177–239.

[21] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra, *Stationary solutions to the Boltzmann equation in the hydrodynamic limit*, Annals of PDE, 4 (2018), p. 1.

[22] V. Garzó, A. Santos, and J. J. Brey, *A kinetic model for a multicomponent gas*, Physics of Fluids, 1 (1989), pp. 380–383.

[23] J. Greene, *Improved Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model of electron-ion collisions*, Physics of Fluids, 16 (1973), pp. 2022–2023.

[24] M. Groppi, S. Monica, and G. Spiga, *A kinetic ellipsoidal BGK model for a binary gas mixture*, EPL (Europhysics Letters), 96 (2011), p. 64002.

[25] E. P. Gross and M. Krook, *Model for collision processes in gases: small-amplitude oscillations of charged two-component systems*, Physical Review, 3 (1956), p. 593.

[26] Y. Guo, *Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains*, Archive for rational mechanics and analysis, 197 (2010), pp. 713–809.

[27] Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon, and A. Trescases, *BV-regularity of the Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 220 (2016), pp. 1045–1093.

[28] ———, *Regularity of the Boltzmann equation in convex domains*, Inventiones mathematicae, 207 (2017), pp. 115–290.

[29] J. R. Haack, C. D. Hauck, and M. S. Murillo, *A conservative, entropic multispecies BGK model*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 168 (2017), pp. 826–856.

[30] B. Hamel, *Kinetic model for binary gas mixtures*, Physics of Fluids, 8 (1965), pp. 418–425.

[31] B.-H. Hwang, T. Ruggeri, and S.-B. Yun, *On a Relativistic BGK Model for Polyatomic Gases Near Equilibrium*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 54 (2022), pp. 2906–2947.

[32] C. Kim, *Formation and propagation of discontinuity for Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains*, Communications in mathematical physics, 308 (2011), pp. 641–701.

[33] C. Kim and D. Lee, *The Boltzmann equation with specular boundary condition in convex domains*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 71 (2018), pp. 411–504.

[34] C. Klingenberg, M. Pirner, and G. Puppo, *A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture with an application to plasma*, Kinetic and Related Models, 10 (2017), pp. 445–465.

[35] ———, *A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture of polyatomic molecules*, Communications in Mathematical Sciences, 17 (2019), pp. 149–173.

[36] B. Perthame, *Global existence to the bgk model of boltzmann equation*, Journal of Differential Equations, 82 (1989), pp. 191–205.

[37] B. Perthame and M. Pulvirenti, *Weighted l^∞ bounds and uniqueness for the boltzmann bgk model*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 125 (1993), pp. 289–295.

[38] M. Pirner, *A BGK model for gas mixtures of polyatomic molecules allowing for slow and fast relaxation of the temperatures*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 173 (2018), pp. 1660–1687.

[39] L. Saint-Raymond, *Hydrodynamic limits of the Boltzmann equation*, no. 1971, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

[40] V. Sofonea and R. Sekerka, *BGK models for diffusion in isothermal binary fluid systems*, Physica, 3 (2001), pp. 494–520.

[41] B. N. Todorova and R. Steijl, *Derivation and numerical comparison of Shakhov and Ellipsoidal Statistical kinetic models for a monoatomic gas mixture*, European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids, 76 (2019), pp. 390–402.

[42] S.-B. Yun, *Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann-BGK model near a global Maxwellian*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 51 (2010), p. 123514.

[43] ———, *Ellipsoidal BGK Model Near a Global Maxwellian*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 47 (2015), pp. 2324–2354.

(HC) Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
Email address: hchen463@cuhk.edu.hk

(CK) Department of Mathematics, University of Würzburg, Emil-Fischer-Str. 40, 97074 Würzburg, Germany
Email address: christian.klingenberg@uni-wuerzburg.de

(MP) Department of Mathematics, University of Münster, Einsteinstr. 62, 48149 Münster, Germany
Email address: marlies.pirner@uni-muenster.de